arXiv:1208.3270v1 [math.AG] 16 Aug 2012

GOPAKUMAR-VAFA BPS INVARIANTS, HILBERT SCHEMES AND QUASIMODULAR FORMS. I.

SHUAI GUO AND JIAN ZHOU

Abstract. We prove a closed formula for leading Gopakumar-Vafa BPS invariants of local Calabi-Yau geometries given by the canonical line bundles of toric Fano surfaces. It shares some similar features with Göttsche-Yau-Zaslow formula: Connection with Hilbert schemes, connection with quasimodular forms, and quadratic property after suitable transformation. In Part I of this paper we will present the case of projective plane, more general cases will be presented in Part II.

1. Introduction

The problem of counting curves in algebraic varieties dates back to the nineteenth century. Classical examples include the famous 27 lines on a cubic surface and the 2875 lines on a quintic 3-fold. Through the interaction with string theory, spectacular progresses in this classical branch of algebraic geometry have been made over the years since 1990's. First of all, Gromov-Witten theory and its various variants have laid the foundation for the modern treatment of many classical enumerative problems and greatly expanded the range of enumerative problems being considered. See [PT] for an introduction to some of the exciting developments.

The study of the problems of counting curves in surfaces and Calabi-Yau 3-folds have served both as motivations and applications of Gromov-Witten theory. We will recall some results in these directions in §2 and §3 to serve as motivations for this work. We emphasize on the following three salient features for the curving counting problems for algebraic surfaces: connection with Hilbert schemes, connection with quasimodular forms, and quadratic properties of the node polynomials after suitable transformation. Our main results will show that these features are also shared in the curve counting problems of some noncompact Calabi-Yau 3-folds, arising as the the total space of the canonical line bundles of toric Fano surfaces.

From their constructions, Gromov-Witten invariants are rational numbers in general. It is amazing that for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, physicists [GV1] have suggested a way to convert them into integer invariants, called the Gopakumar-Vafa BPS invariants. These invariants in general are not the "numbers" of algebraic curves of some fixed degree and genus, embedded in the Calabi-Yau 3-folds, but serve as a useful alternative. Closed formulas for these invariants are very desirable to find, our result adds an item to the very short list of examples known at present.

For compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds such as the quintic 3-fold, mathematical computations of their Gromov-Witten invariants are available only in geneus 0 [Giv, LLY] and genus 1 [Zin], based on the string theorists' prediction using mirror symmetry [CDGP, BCOVK]. Unfortunately it is not known at present how to generalize the computations to higher genera (see Chang-Li [CL] for some recent progresses.)

On the other hand, in the noncompact setting a method to compute the Gromov-Witten invariants of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds called the topological vertex [AKMV] has been developed by string theorists, based on duality with Chern-Simons theory [W1, W2, GV2, OV, MV]. A mathematical theory of the topological vertex has been developed in [LLLZ] to justify this method. Based on this method the Gopakumar-Vafa integrality has been established in this case in [P, Ko1, Ko2]. In this paper we will study the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of the Calabi-Yau 3-folds that are the total spaces of the canonical lines bundle of toric Fano surfaces. In this case the duality of their Gromov-Witten invariants with Chern-Simons link invariants was more straightforward and was developed in [AMV, I], and its mathematical proof was presented in [Z3]. As mentioned in [AMV], the complexity of computations increases very fast: For degree $d = 12$, it involves evaluating 18239 terms, while for degree 20 involves 943304 terms. It turns out that the colored HOMFLY polynomials play a key role in the computations. They can be given by some specializations of the skew Schur functions, and this leads us to the theory basic hypergeometric series and some simple results in that theory can be applied. This is one of the key useful technical tools in our work.

As mentioned above, it is very interesting to compare our results with the corresponding results on algebraic surfaces [YZ, Got]. They share many common features, and their similarities and differences may shed some lights on each other. First of all, both cases involve the theory of quasimodular forms [KaZa], which first appeared in the counting problems on elliptic curves [Dij]. Secondly, both cases involve Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. Thirdly, both involve polynomials that after suitable transformations become quadratic.

Let us present here our result for the special case of $X = \kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$, the total space of the canonical line bundle of $\mathbb{P}^2$, to give a sample of our results. In an earlier work by the second author [Z1], it was observed based on the table in [AMV] that after a suitable transformation, the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ become quadratic polynomials in the degree d:

$$M_d^0 = \frac{1}{2}(d^2 + 3d + 2), \tag{1}$$

$$M_d^1 = \frac{1}{2}(d^2 + 3d - 4), \quad (d \geq 3) \tag{2}$$

$$M_d^2 = \frac{3}{2}(d^2 + 3d - 6), \quad (d \geq 4) \tag{3}$$

$$M_d^3 = 3(d^2 + 3d) - 24, \quad (d \geq 5) \tag{4}$$

$$M_d^4 = 6(d^2 + 3d - 11), \quad (d \geq 6) \tag{5}$$

$$M_d^5 = \frac{21}{2}(d^2 + 3d) - 144, \quad (d \geq 7) \tag{6}$$

$$M_d^6 = 20(d^2 + 3d - 16), \quad (d \geq 8) \tag{7}$$

$$M_d^7 = \frac{67}{2}(d^2 + 3d) - 626, \quad (d \geq 9) \tag{8}$$

$$M_d^8 = \frac{117}{2}(d^2 + 3d) - 1233, \quad (d \geq 10) \tag{9}$$

It was conjectured in [Z1] that in general for $d \geq m + 2$,

$$M_d^m = \frac{a(m)}{2}(d^2 + 3d) - b(m)$$

for some positive integers $a(m)$ and $b(m)$. (Similar observations were also made for $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$.) In this paper we will prove this conjecture, and obtain the following closed formula for $a(m)$ and $b(m)$ in terms of quasimodular forms (cf. Theorem 5.12):

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} M_d^m q^m \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^3} \left(\frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2} - 3G_2(q) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $G_2(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} dq^n$ is the second Einsenstein series. The right-hand side of the above formula has a striking similarity with the Yau-Zaslow formula [YZ]. We will also show that (10) verifies the predictions made by Katz-Klemm-Vafa [KKV] based on M-theory. In this paper, we will also show that our method can be used to obtain some results not predicted by [KKV] and not observed in [Z1]. In Theorem 6.1 we prove the following formula holds for $0 \leq m \leq 2d-5$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_d^m = \operatorname{coeff}\bigg(& \frac{[1]^2[2]}{[\infty]!^3}\bigg(\binom{d+2}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2}\bigg) \\ & - \frac{3\cdot q^{d-1}[2][3]}{[\infty]!^3}\bigg(\binom{d+1}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2} - 3\frac{q^3}{1-q^3}\bigg), q^m\bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Based on such results we will also make some observations and speculations about higher order corrections: The quadratic property of the transformed GV invariants seems to persist also in higher order corrections.

We now explain the title of this paper. On the left-hand-side of (10) we have the generating series for transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants, on the right-hand side,

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^3} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\chi((\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]})q^n, \tag{12}$$

where $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ is the Hilbert schemes of zero dimensional subschemes of length n in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Also on the right-hand, G_2 is a quasimodular form.

Furthermore, the term $\frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$ on the right-hand side has the combinatorial meaning of number of lattice points in the triangle that describes the toric geometry of $\mathbb{P}^2$. In Part II of this paper, we will present the same type of formulas for all toric Fano surfaces. In this general formula, the meaning of each term on the right-hand side will become more transparent. More precisely, let S be a toric Fano surface and $X = \kappa_S$. For $\beta \in H_2(S;\mathbb{Z})$, there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-valued function $P^S(\beta)$ quadratic in β such that the generating series of the leading transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants is given by:

$$\frac{[1]^2[2]}{[\infty]!^{e(S)}}\cdot\bigg(P^S(\beta) - e(S)\cdot\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2}\bigg). \tag{13}$$

The combinatorial meaning of $P^S(\beta)$ is the number of lattice points in the integral lattices associated to S, with size controlled by β.

We work with Gromov-Witten invariants of noncompact Calabi-Yau 3-folds in this paper. It is also very interesting to compare our results with the corresponding results for compact Calabi-Yau 3-fold such as the quintic 3-fold. We hope our results can have some counterparts in the compact case. In a work in progress, we extend our results to open string invariants.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we recall some work on counting curves in algebraic surfaces. In Section 3 we recall the definition of Gopakumar-Vafa invariants and the observations on the quadratic properties of the transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants. In Section 4 we recall some technical preliminaries. In Section 5 we will prove (10) and show that it matches with the prediction by Katz-Klemm-Vafa [KKV], we will also make a comparison of this result with the corresponding result for counting curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$. In Section 6 we refine our approach to get stronger results not predicted in the literature and make more observations on the general behavior of the transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}$.

Acknowledgements. The second author is partially supported by NSFC grant 1171174. The first author thanks Professor Gang Tian for helpful suggestions.

2. Counting curves in algebraic surfaces

In this section we recall some results on counting curves in a linear system on an algebraic surface, especially on a K3 surface. Even though we will not use the results and methods in this section, the content of this section will suggest a suitable perspective to understand our results. We will see that the following three features will emerge also in the Calabi-Yau 3-fold setting that we will study in this paper: Connection with quasimodular forms, connection with Hilbert schemes, quadratic property of node polynomials after some transformation.

2.1. **Counting curves in the projective plane.** Let N_d be the number of irreducible rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^2$ of degree d through $3d-1$ points in general position. Then by an application of the WDVV equation Kontsevich [KM] proved the following recursive formula:

$$\begin{aligned} &N_1 = 1,\\ &N_d = \sum_{\substack{d_1+d_2=d\\ d_1,d_2\geq 1}} N_{d_1}N_{d_2}\left[d_1^2d_2^2\binom{3d-4}{3d_1-2} - d_1^3d_2\binom{3d-4}{3d_1-1}\right], \quad d>1. \end{aligned}$$

The genus formula states that an irreducible algebraic curve in $\mathbb{P}^2$ of degree d with only δ nodes as singularities has geometric genus

$$g = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - \delta. \tag{14}$$

So the irreducible rational curves of degree d must have $g(d) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$ nodes. For $0 \leq \delta \leq g(d)$, denote by $N_d^{g(d)-\delta}$ the number of irreducible plane curves of degree d, genus $g = g(d) - \delta$, with δ simple nodes, through $3d - 1 + g$ points in general position. For example [KP1, Vai]:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_d^{g(d)-1} &= 3(d-1)^2, \;\; d \geq 3, \\
N_d^{g(d)-2} &= \frac{3}{2}(d-1)(d-2)(3d^2-3d-11), \;\; d \geq 4, \\
N_d^{g(d)-3} &= \frac{9}{2}d^6 - 27d^5 + \frac{9}{2}d^4 + \frac{423}{2}d^3 - 229d^2 - \frac{829}{2}d \\
&+ 525 - \delta_{d,4}\binom{11}{2}, \;\; d \geq 4, \\
N_d^{g(d)-4} &= \frac{27}{8}d^8 - 27d^7 + \frac{1809}{4}d^5 - 642d^4 - 2529d^3 \\
&+ \frac{37881}{8}d^2 + \frac{18057}{4}d - 8865 - \delta_{d,5}\binom{16}{2}, \quad d \geq 5.
\end{aligned}$$

The following observation was made in [DI]: For fixed δ, when d is large enough, $N_d^{g(d)-\delta}$ is a polynomial in d of degree 2δ:

$$\begin{aligned}
z_\delta(d) &= \frac{3^\delta}{\delta!}\Bigg[d^{2\delta} - 2\delta d^{2\delta-1} + \frac{\delta(4-\delta)}{3}d^{2\delta-2} \\
&+ \frac{\delta(\delta-1)(20\delta-13)}{6}d^{2\delta-3} \\
&- \frac{\delta(\delta-1)(69\delta^2-85\delta+92)}{54}d^{2\delta-4} + \cdots\Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

See Fomin-Mikhalkin [FM] for a proof.

2.2. **Counting rational nodal curves in K3 surfaces.** Let C be a smooth curve in a generic K3 surface X representing a primitive homology class, with $C \cdot C = 2n - 2$. Then C has genus n and move in a complete linear system $|C| \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. By a result of Chen [Che], all the rational curves in $|C|$ are nodal, hence by the genus formula, they all have n nodes. Denote by $N(n)$ the number of such curves in $|C|$. Then Yau-Zaslow formula [YZ] is

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} N(n)q^{n-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta(q)} = \frac{1}{q\prod_{n=1}^\infty(1-q^n)}. \tag{15}$$

Let us briefly outline the beautiful argument of Yau and Zaslow, which has been completed into a mathematical proof by [Bea] under suitable conditions to be specified below. Look at the compactified universal Jacobian $\pi : \mathcal{J} \to |C|$ for the linear system $|C|$. Under the assumption that If one assumes that all the curves in $|C|$ are reduced and irreducible, $\mathcal{J}$ is a smooth hyperkähler manifold of dimension $2n$, and

$\mathcal{J}$ is birationally equivalent to the Hilbert scheme $X^{[n]}$. Under the assumption that each member in the linear system $|C|$ has at most nodal singularities, then one can argue that

$$N_0(n) = \chi(\mathcal{J}). \tag{16}$$

Now by a result of Batyrev [Bat],

$$\chi(\mathcal{J})q^{n-1} = \chi(X^{[n]})q^{n-1}. \tag{17}$$

By a result of Göttsche [Got],

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi(X^{[n]})q^{n-1} = \frac{1}{q\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^{\chi(X)}} = \frac{1}{\Delta(q)}. \tag{18}$$

Hence (15) is obtained by combining the three equalities above.

2.3. **Counting nodal curves on algebraic surfaces.** Let L be a line bundle on a projective algebraic surface S. Denote by $t_\delta^S(L)$ of the numbers of δ-nodal curves in a general δ-dimensional sub-linear system of $|L|$. The following result was conjectured by Göttsche [Got] and proved by Liu [Liu] and Tzeng [Tz]: For every integer $\delta \geq 0$, there exists a universal polynomial $T_\delta(x, y, z, t)$ of degree δ with the following property: Given a smooth projective surface S and a $(5\delta-1)$-very ample (5-very ample if $\delta = 1$) line bundle L on S,

$$t_\delta^S(L) = T_r(L^2, L\kappa_S, c_1(S)^2, c_2(S)).$$

Kool-Shende-Thomas [KST] gave a different proof and weakened the condition to L being δ-very ample.

Inspired by the Yau-Zaslow formula, Göttsche [Got] conjectured the following closed form of this generating function: There exist universal power series $B_1(q)$ and $B_2(q)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\delta\geq 0} T_\delta(L^2, L\cdot\kappa_S, c_1(S)^2, c_2(S))\cdot(DG_2(q))^\delta \\ =&\frac{(DG_2(q)/q)^{\chi(L)}B_1(q)^{K_S^2}B_2(q)^{L\cdot\kappa_S}}{(\Delta(q)D^2G_2(q)/q^2)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)/2}},\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $D = q\frac{d}{dq}$, G_2 is the second Eisenstein series

$$G_2(q) = -\frac{1}{24} + \sum_{n>0}\sum_{d|n} d\cdot q^n.$$

This formula is called the Göttsche-Yau-Zaslow formula. See Liu [Liu] and Tzeng [Tz] for proofs.

For a K3 surface S, Göttsche [Got] conjectured:

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} n_\delta^S(l)q^l = \frac{(DG_2(q))^\delta}{\Delta(q)}, \tag{20}$$

where for L sufficiently ample $n_\delta^S(L^2/2)$ stands for the number of $\chi(L)-\delta-1$-nodal curves in a δ-dimensional sub-linear system of L. See Bryan-Leung [BL] and Liu [Liu] for proofs. For Gromov-Witten theoretical approach to this problm, see the work by Klemm *et al* [KMPS].

Göttsche [Got] made a connection to Hilbert schemes of S in a different way from that of Yau and Zaslow [YZ]. Let $Z_n(s) \subset S\times S^{[n]}$ be the universal family with projections $p_n : Z_n(S) \to S$, $q_n : Z_n(S) \to S^{[n]}$. Then for any line bundle L on S, $L_n := (q_n)_* p_n^* L$ is a vector bundle of rank n on $S^{[n]}$. Let $S_2^\delta \subset S^{[3\delta]}$ be the closure of the locally closed subset

$$S_{2,0}^\delta = \left\{ \coprod_{i=1}^\delta \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S,x_i}/m_{S,x_i}^2) \middle| x_1,\dots,x_\delta \quad \text{are distinct points on } S \right\}.$$

Göttsche showed that when L is $(5\delta-1)$-very ample (5-very ample if $\delta=1$), then a general δ-dimensional sublinear system of $|L|$ contains exactly

$$d_\delta(L) = \int_{S_2^\delta} c_{2\delta}(L_{3\delta}) \tag{21}$$

curves with precisely δ nodes as singularities. This connection is crucial to Tseng's proof of the Göttsche-Yau-Zaslow formula. Another connection with Hilbert schemes was made in [KST].

2.4. Quadratic property of node polynomials after transformation.

The Severi degree $N^{d,\delta}$ is the number of plane curves of degree d with δ nodes passing through $(d^2+3d)/2-\delta$ general points. Göttsche [Got] conjectured that for $\delta \le 2d-2$, $N^{d,\delta} = t_\delta^{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O}(d))$. He also conjectured for $d>0$,

$$\sum_\delta N^{d,\delta}x^\delta = \exp(d^2C_1(x) + dC_2(x) + C_3(x)) \tag{22}$$

modulo the ideal generated by x^{2d-1}. In particular, for $\delta \le 2d-2$, the numbers $N^{d,\delta}$ are given by a polynomial $z_\delta(d)$ (called the node polynomials) of degree 2δ in d, as conjectured by DiFrancesco-Itzykson [DI] and proved by Fomin-Mikhalkin [FM]. Kleiman and Piene [KP2] made a slightly different formulation of Göttsche's conjecture as follows (here we use the version of Fomin-Mikkhalkin [FM]). Define polynomials $A_j(d)$ by:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j(d) \frac{x^j}{j} = \log \sum_{\delta} z_\delta(d) x^d. \tag{23}$$

Then $A_j(t)$ are quadratic polynomials with integral coefficients. Kleiman and Piene [KP2] established this for $j \leq 8$:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(d) &= 3(d^2 - 2d + 1), \\
A_2(d) &= -3(14d^2 - 39d + 25), \\
A_3(d) &= 3(230d^2 - 788d + 633), \\
A_4(d) &= -9(1340d^2 - 5315d + 5023), \\
A_5(d) &= 9(24192d^2 - 107294d + 114647), \\
A_6(d) &= -9(445592d^2 - 2161292d + 2545325), \\
A_7(d) &= 54(1386758d^2 - 7245004d + 9242081), \\
A_8(d) &= -9(156931220d^2 - 873420627d + 1191950551).
\end{aligned}$$

Recently, building on ideas of Fomin and Mikhalkin [FM], Block [Blo] developed an explicit algorithm for computing node polynomials, and used it to compute $N_\delta(d)$ for $\delta \leq 14$, hence verified the above conjecture and found $A_j(d)$ up to $j = 14$.

Note the polynomials $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ are obtained from the node polynomials $z_1, z_2, \ldots$ by the following transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= z_1, \\
A_2 &= 2z_2 - z_1^2, \\
A_3 &= 3z_3 - 3z_1 z_2 + z_1^3,
\end{aligned}$$

in general,

$$A_n = n \sum_{\substack{m_1, \cdots, m_k \geq 0 \\ \sum_j j m_j = n}} (-1)^{m_1 + \cdots + m_k - 1} \frac{(\sum_j m_j - 1)!}{m_1! \cdots m_k!} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_k^{m_k}.$$

Note the coefficients on the right-hand side are all integers.

3. Counting Curves in Calabi-Yau 3-folds

In this section we first recall in §3.1 some results for curve counting in quintic Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Then we review the definition of the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants in §3.2, and review in §3.4 the observations made in [Z1] about the quadratic property mentioned in the Introduction of the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants under a suitable transformation.

3.1. **Gromov-Witten invariants of quintic 3-fold.** Let X_5 be the quintic 3-fold, and let

$$K_d^g = \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(X_5;d)]} 1$$

be degree d genus g Gromov-Witten invariants of X. The calculations of such invariants are very difficult and predictions made by physicists have played a crucial role in the mathematical research on them. Let

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} I_j(t) w^j = e^{wt} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} e^{dt} \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{5d}(5w+r)}{\prod_{r=1}^{d}(w+r)^5}. \tag{24}$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_0(t) &= 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} e^{dt} \frac{(5d)!}{(d!)^5}, \\
I_1(t) &= tI_0(t) + 5 \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} e^{dt} \frac{(5d)!}{(d!)^5} \sum_{r=d+1}^{5d} \frac{1}{r}, \\
I_2(t) &= \frac{t^2}{2} I_0(t) + 5t \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} e^{dt} \frac{(5d)!}{(d!)^5} \sum_{r=d+1}^{5d} \frac{1}{r} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} e^{dt} \frac{(5d)!}{(d!)^5} \biggl(25 \Bigl(\sum_{r=d+1}^{5d} \frac{1}{r} \Bigr)^2 - \sum_{r=1}^{5d} \frac{25}{d^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{d} \frac{5}{r^2} \biggr).
\end{aligned}$$

By the Frobenius method, it is easy to see that $\{I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3\}$ form a basis of solutions to the Picard-Fuchs equation

$$\partial_t^4 f = 5e^t (5\partial_t + 1) \cdots (5\partial_t + 4) f(t).$$

Let

$$J_j(t) = \frac{I_j(t)}{I_0(t)}, \tag{25}$$

and

$$T = J_1(t). \tag{26}$$

Givental [Giv] and Lian-Liu-Yau [LLY] proved the following prediction due to Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes [CDGP]:

$$F_0(T) = \frac{5}{2}(J_1(t) \cdot J_2(t) - J_3(t)). \tag{27}$$

Zinger [Zin] proved the following prediction due to Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa [BCOV]:

$$F_1(T) = \frac{25}{12}(J_1(t) - t) - \log\left(I_0(t)^{31/3}(1 - 5^5 e^t)^{1/12} J_1'(t)^{1/2} \right). \tag{28}$$

Predictions up to $g = 51$ have been made by Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush [HKQ] based on ideas in [BCOV] and the work of Yamaguchi and Yau [YY].

3.2. **From GW invariants to curve counting in Calabi-Yau 3-folds.** In general, the numbers K_d^0 are rational numbers. Candelas *et al* [CDGP] suggested to consider numbers n_d^0 defined as follows:

$$K_d^0 = \sum_{k|d} n_k \frac{k^3}{d^3},$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{d \geq 1} K_d^0 e^{dT} = \sum_{k \geq 1} n_k^0 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{klT}}{l^3}.$$

Then one can observe that n_k^0 become integral, for example, $n_1^0 = 2875$, $n_2^0 = 609250$. These numbers are expected to be the "number" of rational curves of degree k in X_5. In general, Gopakumar and Vafa [GV1] found a relationship between the Gromov-Witten invariants of a Calabi-Yau 3-fold X and counts of BPS states in M-theory. This suggests the definition of some invariants called the Gopakumar-Vafa BPS invariants which we now turn to. They will be used as alternatives of "number" of curves in X. We will recall their definition momentarily.

Let X be a projective algebraic variety. For any $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ the moduli space of stable maps from genus g $n-$pointed curves to X with the image in the class β :

$$f : (C, p_1, \cdots, p_n) \to X \quad \text{stable map, s.t.} \quad f_*[C] = \beta.$$

The virtual dimension of this space is

$$\mathrm{vdim}\, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) = (1 - g)(\dim X - 3) + \int_\beta c_1(TX) + n.$$

When X is a Calabi-Yau $3-$fold, the virtual dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(X; \beta)$ is 0. Define the 0-point Gromov-Witten invariant $K_\beta^g(X)$ by

$$K_\beta^g(X) := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(X,\beta)]^{vir}} 1.$$

Conjecture/Definition 3.1. There are integers $n^g_\beta(X)$ called Gopakumar-Vafa BPS invariants such that:

$$\sum_{\beta\neq 0}\sum_{g\geq 0} K^g_\beta(X)\lambda^{2g-2}t^\beta = \sum_{\beta\neq 0}\sum_{g\geq 0} n^g_\beta(X)\sum_{k>0}\frac{1}{k}(2\sin(\frac{k\lambda}{2}))^{2g-2}t^{k\beta}, \tag{29}$$

where $t^{k\beta}$ is an element of the Novikov ring associated with $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. the group ring of $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$.

For fixed genus $g \geq 0$, denote by

$$F^X_g := \sum_{\beta\neq 0} K^g_\beta(X)t^\beta \tag{30}$$

the instanton part of the genus g free energy. By taking the coefficients of λ^{-2} on both sides of (29), one gets

$$F^X_0 = \sum_{\beta\neq 0} n^0_\beta(X)\sum_{k>0}\frac{1}{k^3}t^{k\beta} = \sum_{\beta\neq 0} n^0_\beta(X)\cdot \mathrm{Li}_3(t^\beta), \tag{31}$$

where $\mathrm{Li}_r(z) = \sum_{k>0} k^{-r}z^k$. By taking the coefficients of λ^0 on both sides of (29), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} F^X_1 =& \sum_{\beta\neq 0} n^0_\beta(X)\sum_{k>0}\frac{1}{12k}t^{k\beta} + \sum_{\beta\neq 0} n^1_\beta(X)\sum_{k>0}\frac{1}{k}t^{k\beta} \\ =& \sum_{\beta\neq 0}(\frac{1}{12}n^0_\beta(X) + n^1_\beta(X))\cdot \mathrm{Li}_1(t^\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The numbers $n^1_\beta(X)$ should not be interpreted as the "numbers" $n^{*1}_\beta(X)$ of elliptic curves in class β, but instead the number of BPS states associated to β. By a result of Pandharipande [Pan], they are related as follows:

$$\sum_{\beta\neq 0}\sum_{k>0}\frac{n^1_\beta(X)}{k}t^{k\beta} = \sum_{\beta\neq 0}\sum_{k>0} n^{*1}_\beta\frac{\sigma_1(k)}{k}t^{k\beta}, \tag{33}$$

where $\sigma_r(k) = \sum_{l|k} l^r$. Combining this with the above formula for F^X_1, one gets

$$F^X_1 = \sum_{\beta\neq 0}(\frac{1}{12}n^0_\beta(X)\cdot \mathrm{Li}_1(t^\beta) + n^{*1}_\beta(X)\cdot \log\prod_{l=1}^{\infty}(1-t^{l\beta})^{-1}). \tag{34}$$

For more mathematical work on contributions of embedded curves to the Gromov-Witten invariants, see Faber-Pandharipande [FP], Bryan-Pandharipande [BP], Bryan-Leung [BL], and Bryan [Bry].

3.3. **Local Gromov-Witten invariants and local Gopakumar-Vafa invariants.** A powerful technique for the computations of Gromov-Witten invariants is the localization method [K, GP]. The successes in computing the genus zero and genus one Gromov-Witten invariants of quintic 3-fold are based on transforming the calculations to the ambient space $\mathbb{P}^4$. Unfortunately it is not clear how this can be done for genus $g > 1$ at present.

While a compact Calai-Yau 3-fold does not have continuous symmetry group, a noncompact Calabi-Yau 3-fold may have a 3-torus as symmetry group which has isolated fixed points. For example, let S be a toric Fano surface and let $X = \kappa_S$ be the total space of its canonical line bundle. It is interesting to study their Gromov-Witten invariants of such spaces [CKYZ, KZ]. First of all, suppose that S is a toric Fano surface embedded in a Calabi-Yau 3-fold Y. Because S is Fano, its canonical line bundle is negative, so the normal bundle $N_{S/Y}$ is negative because it is isomorphic to κ_S by the adjunction formula and the triviality of K_Y. If we have a stable map $f : C \to Y$, such that $f(C) \subset S$, by the negativity of $N_{S/Y}$, under deformations of f, the images will remain in S. In other words, let $\beta \subset H_2(S;\mathbb{Z})$, and let $i_S : S \to Y$ be the inclusion, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(S;(i_S)_*\beta)$ will have a component isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(S;\beta)$, so so there is a contribution, denoted by $K^g_\beta(\kappa_S)$, to the Gromov-Witten invariant $K^g_{(i_S)*\beta}(Y)$, from this component. Recall we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{M}_{g,1}(S,\beta) \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "ev"'] & \overline{M}_g(S,\beta) \\
S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π is the forgetful map and ev is the evaluation map. Define a bundle $(\kappa_S)^g_\beta$ as follows:

$$(\kappa_S)^g_\beta := \mathcal{R}^1\pi_* ev^*\kappa_S,$$

One can show that

$$K^g_\beta(\kappa_S) = \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(S,\beta)]^{vir}} e((\kappa_S)^g_\beta).$$

Besides providing local contributions to the Gromov-Witten invariants, another more important motivation to study local Gromov-Witten invariants is that by suitably choosing the local Calabi-Yau geometries, one can reproduce the partition functions of gauge theories, an idea called the geometric engineering by the string theorists [KKV1].

In exactly the same fashion as (29), the local Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of κ_S is defined as follows:

$$\sum_{\beta\neq 0}\sum_{g\geq 0} K^g_\beta(\kappa_S)\lambda^{2g-2}t^\beta = \sum_{\beta\neq 0}\sum_{g\geq 0} n^g_\beta(\kappa_S)\sum_{k>0}(2\sin(\frac{k\lambda}{2}))^{2g-2}\frac{t^{k\beta}}{k}. \tag{35}$$

Suppose that C is a smooth curve in S such that $[C]=\beta$, then the genus of C which we denote by $g(\beta)$ is given by the adjunction formula:

$$g(\beta) = 1+\frac{1}{2}(\beta^2+\kappa_S\cdot\beta). \tag{36}$$

Based on empirical evidence in [AMV], it is implicitly assumed in physics literature that

$$n^g_\beta(\kappa_s) = 0 \tag{37}$$

for $g > g(\beta)$. We will prove this in later sections. Under this assumption, the summation over g in (38) becomes a finite summation $\sum_{g=0}^{g(\beta)}$.

3.4. **Quadratic properties of the local Gopakumar-Vafa invariants.** Now we recall an observation made by the second author in [Z1]. Write the left-hand side of (35) as F^{κ_S} and let $q = e^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}$, then one can rewrite (35) in the following form

$$F^{\kappa_S} = \sum_{\beta\neq 0}\sum_{g\geq 0} n^g_\beta(\kappa_S)\sum_{k>0}(-1)^{g-1}(q^{k/2}-q^{-k/2})^{2g-2}\frac{t^{k\beta}}{k}. \tag{38}$$

Let us recall how Gopakumar and Vafa [GV1, Section 2] defined the number $n^g_\beta(X)$. See also Katz-Klemm-Vafa [KKV, Section 3], especially (3.1)-(3.3). Then for each $\beta\in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$, and half integers $j_L, j_R\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, the number $N^\beta_{j_L,j_R}(X)$ denote the number of BPS states with charge represented by the class β and with $SU(2)_L\times SU(2)_R$ representation $[(j_L)]\otimes[(j_R)]$ indexed by (j_L, j_R). The numbers $N^\beta_{j_L,j_R}(X)$ may change under the deformation of the complex structures on X, however, they claimed that the number

$$\sum_{j_R}(-1)^{2j_R}(2j_R+1)N^\beta_{j_L,j_R}$$

is an invariant, and they defined the invariants $n^g_\beta(X)$ by (cf. [KKV, (3.2)]):

$$\sum_g n^g_\beta(X)I_g = \sum_{j_L}(\sum_{j_R}(-1)^{2j_R}(2j_R+1)N^\beta_{j_L,j_R})[(j_L)], \tag{39}$$

where

$$I_g = [(\frac{1}{2}) + 2(0)]^{\otimes g}. \tag{40}$$

Let us give some explanation of the physical notations. By $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ we mean two copies of $SU(2)$. Let

$$t_{L,R} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{41}$$

By $[(j_{L,R})]$ we mean the irreducible representation of $SU(2)_{L,R}$ on which $t_{J,R}$ acts as

$$\mathrm{diag}(e^{2j_{L,R}i\theta}, e^{2(j_{L,R}-1)i\theta}, \dots, e^{-2j_{L,R}i\theta})$$

in a suitable basis. This representation has dimension $2j_{L,R}+1$. Given a representation V of $SU(2)$, its character χ_V is the trace of the matrix representation of t. In particular,

$$\chi_{[(j)]} = e^{2ji\theta} + e^{2(j-1)i\theta} + \cdots + e^{-2ji\theta}, \tag{42}$$

$$\chi_{I_g} = (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta} + 2)^g. \tag{43}$$

Write $e^{i\theta} = -q$, one gets:

$$\chi_{[(j)]} = (-1)^{2j}(q^{2j} + q^{2(j-1)} + \cdots + q^{-2j}), \tag{44}$$

$$\chi_{I_g} = (-1)^g(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^{2g}. \tag{45}$$

Write $R_g(q) = q^g + q^{g-2} + \cdots + q^{-g}$. Then by (39),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_g n^g_\beta(X)(-1)^g(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^{2g} \\ = & \sum_{j_L}(\sum_{j_R}(-1)^{2j_L+2j_R}(2j_R+1)N^\beta_{j_L,j_R}(X))R_{2j_L}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$N^g_\beta(X) = \sum_{j_R}(-1)^{g+2j_R}(2j_R+1)N^\beta_{g/2,j_R}(X). \tag{46}$$

We then arrive at the following identity (cf. [HIV, (111)], see also [Z1, (5)]):

$$\sum_g n^g_\beta(X)(-1)^g(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^{2g} = \sum_{g\geq 0} N^g_\beta(X)R_g(q). \tag{47}$$

The main observation of [Z1] is the following:

Conjecture 1. *Fix $\delta \geq 0$. For local Calabi-Yau geometries given by the canonical line bundles of toric Fano surfaces, $N^{g(\beta)-\delta}_\beta(\kappa_S)$ is a quadratic polynomial in d, up to a suitable sign, when d is sufficiently large compared to δ.*

It was also suggested in [Z1] that the argument in [KKV] might give an explanation of quadratic behavior of the transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants N_β^g.

Note the transformation from the invariants n_β^g to N_β^g is quite different from the transformation of the node polynomials introduced by Göttsche [Got] (cf. §2.4).

4. Some Combinatorial Preliminaries

In this section we collect some combinatorial results which we use later.

4.1. **Partitions and some associated combinatoiral numbers.** Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_l, \dots)$ be a partition, i.e., $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers such that $\mu_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. We will use the following numbers associated with μ:

$$\begin{aligned} & l(\mu) = |\{i : \mu_I > 0\}|, \quad |\mu| = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu_i, \quad m_i(\mu) = |\{i : \mu_j = i\}|, \\ & z_\mu = \prod_{i \geq 1} m_i(\mu)! \prod_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i, \quad n_\mu = \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)\mu_i, \\ & k_\mu = n_{\mu^t} - n_\mu = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu_i(\mu_i - 2i + 1). \end{aligned}$$

It is very useful to represent a partition μ by its Young diagram $Y(\mu)$. By transposing the rows and columns of $Y(\mu)$, we get the Young diagram of another partition, denoted by μ^t. For a box x sitting at the i-th row and the j-th column of $Y(\mu)$, its arm number, leg number and hook number are defined by

$$a(x) = \mu_i - i, \qquad l(x) = \mu_j^t - j, \qquad h(x) = a(x) + l(x) + 1. \tag{48}$$

Let μ be a partition of $n > 0$. Then μ can be used either to index an irreducible representation R_μ of S_n, or a conjugacy class C_μ of S_n (cf. e.g. [Mac, §1.7]). In particular, $R_{(n)}$ is the trivial representation, $R_{(1^n)}$ is the sign representation; $C_{(1^n)}$ is the conjugacy class of the identity element, and $C_{(n)}$ is the conjugacy class of the n-cycles. For two partitions μ and ν, denote by $\chi_\mu(\nu)$ the value of the irreducible character χ_{R_μ} on the conjugacy class C_ν. They satisfy the following orthogonality relations:

$$\sum_\nu \frac{1}{z_\nu} \chi_{\mu^1}(\nu) \cdot \chi_{\mu^2}(\nu) = \delta_{\mu^1,\mu^2}, \tag{49}$$

$$\sum_\mu \chi_\mu(\nu^1) \cdot \chi_\mu(\nu^2) = \delta_{\nu^1,\nu^2} z_{\nu^1}. \tag{50}$$

4.2. **Estimates of some combinatorial numbers.** Let μ and ν be two partitions of n. We write $\mu > \nu$ or $\nu < \mu$ if the first nonzero $\mu_i - \nu_i$ is positive. This defines an ordering on the set $\mathcal{P}_n$ of partitions of n, called the *reverse lexicographic ordering* [Mac].

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mu > \nu$, then one has*

$$n_\mu < n_\nu, \quad \kappa_\mu > \kappa_\nu. \tag{51}$$

In particular, if $\mu < (n)$, then

$$\kappa_\mu \leq \kappa_{(n)} - 2n. \tag{52}$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case when μ and ν are adjacent in the reverse lexicographic ordering. Suppose that $l(\mu) = l$. We have two cases to consider.

Case 1. Suppose that $\mu_l > 1$, then one has

$$\nu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{l-1}, \mu_l - 1, 1).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_\mu - n_\nu &= \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (i-1)\mu_i + (l-1)\mu_l \\ &- (\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (i-1)\mu_i + (l-1)(\mu_l - 1) + l \cdot 1) \\ &= -1 < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\mu - \kappa_\nu &= \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \mu_i(\mu_i - 2i + 1) + \mu_l(\mu_l - 2l + 1) \\ &- \big(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \mu_i(\mu_i - 2i + 1) + (\mu_l - 1)(\mu_l - 1 - 2l + 1) \\ &+1 \cdot (1 - 2(l+1) + 1) \big) \\ &= \mu_l(\mu_l - 2l + 1) - (\mu_l - 1)(\mu_l - 2l) + 2l \\ &= 2\mu_l > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Suppose that $\mu_k > 1$, $\mu_{k+1} = \mu_{k+2} = \dots = \mu_l = 1$. Then we have

$$\nu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}, \mu_k - 1, \nu_{k+1} = 1, \dots, \nu_{l+1} = 1),$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_\mu - n_\nu &= \sum_{i=1}^{k}(i-1)\mu_i + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l}(j-1)\cdot 1 \\ &- (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\mu_i + (k-1)\cdot(\mu_k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l}(j-1)\cdot 1 + l\cdot 1) \\ &= -(l-k+1) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\mu - \kappa_\nu &= \sum_{i=1}^{k}\mu_i(\mu_i-2i+1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l} 1\cdot(1-2j+1) \\ &- \big(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\mu_i(\mu_i-2i+1) + (\mu_k-1)(\mu_k-1-2k+1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^{l+1} 1\cdot(1-2j+1)\big) \\ &= \mu_k(\mu_k-2k+1) - (\mu_k-1)(\mu_k-2k) + 2l \\ &= 2\mu_k - 2k + 2l > 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.3. **Symmetric functions and their specializations.** Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ be a sequence of indeterminates. Consider the infinite product $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-x_it)$. One has the following expansions:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-x_it) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k e_k(x)t^k, \tag{53}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-x_it)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x)t^k, \tag{54}$$

$$\log\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-x_it) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(x)\frac{t^k}{k}, \tag{55}$$

where

$$e_k(x) = \sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_k} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_k},$$
$$h_k(x) = \sum_{\sum k_j=k} x_{i_1}^{k_1}x_{i_2}^{k_2}\cdots x_{i_s}^{k_s},$$
$$p_k(x) = \sum_{j\geq 1} x_j^k,$$

These date back to Euler. The following monomials of these symmetric functions can be used to obtain additive basis of the space Λ of symmetric functions:

$$e_\mu(x) = \prod_{i\geq 1} e_{\mu_i}, \quad h_\mu(x) = \prod_{i\geq 1} h_{\mu_i}, \quad p_\mu(x) = \prod_{i\geq 1} p_{\mu_i},$$

so do the Schur functions s_μ defined by:

$$s_\mu(x) = \det(h_{\mu_i-i+j}(x))_{1\leq i,j\leq n},$$

where $n > \max\{\mu_1, l(\mu)\}$. The bases $\{s_\nu\}$ and $\{p_\eta\}$ are related as follows [Mac, §I.7]:

$$s_\nu(x) = \sum_{|\eta|=|\nu|} \frac{\chi_\nu(\eta)}{z_\eta}\cdot p_\eta(x), \tag{56}$$

$$p_\eta(x) = \sum_{|\eta|=|\nu|} \chi_\nu(\eta)\cdot s_\nu(x). \tag{57}$$

4.4. **Symmetric functions and their specializations.** The following identities are also due to Euler:

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-q^n z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{\prod_{j=1}^n(1-q^j)} z^n, \tag{58}$$

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^n z} = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n(1-q^j)} z^n. \tag{59}$$

From these one gets:

$$e_k(1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots) = \frac{q^{-k(k-1)/2}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}, \tag{60}$$

$$h_k(1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots) = \frac{1}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}, \tag{61}$$

where we have used the following notations:

$$[k]_q := 1 - q^j, \quad [k]_q! := [1]_q[2]_q\cdots[k]_q. \tag{62}$$

It is trivial to see that

$$p_k(1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-k}} = \frac{1}{[k]_{q^{-1}}}. \tag{63}$$

We have [Mac]:

$$s_\mu(1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots) = q^{-n(\mu)} \prod_{x \in Y(\mu)} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-h(x)}}, \tag{64}$$

where $Y(\mu)$ is the Young tableau of μ and $h(x)$ is the hook number of x.

4.5. **Basic hypergeometric series.** First we recall the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} (a; q)_\infty &= \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i), \\ (a; q)_n &= \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^n; q)_\infty} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$[\infty]_q! = (q; q)_\infty.$$

The following Lemma will be used repeatedly in this work (cf. e.g. [GR], page 7-10).

Lemma 4.2. *We have the q-analog of binomial theorem:*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q, q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty}. \tag{65}$$

A particular case of (65) is when $a = 0$, we get the q-analog exponential function:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{(z, q)_\infty}. \tag{66}$$

This is just another way to write (59).

4.6. **Link invariants of the Hopf link.** For calculations of the Gromov-Witten invariants of local Calabi-Yau geometries, we will need the following expressions arising from the colored HOMFLY polynomials of the Hopf link (see e.g. [AMV, I, Z2]):

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu^1, \mu^2}(q) = s_{\mu^1}(q^\varrho) s_{\mu^2}(q^{\mu^1 + \varrho}), \tag{67}$$

where $q^{\varrho} = (q^{-1/2}, q^{-3/2}, q^{-5/2}, \cdots)$ and $q^{\mu+\varrho} = (q^{\mu_1-1/2}, q^{\mu_2-3/2}, q^{\mu_3-5/2}, \cdots)$. These invariants have the following symmetric properties:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu}(q) = \mathcal{W}_{\nu,\mu}(q). \tag{68}$$

The following are some examples:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{(1),(1)}(q) &= \frac{q^2-q+1}{(q-1)^2},\\
\mathcal{W}_{(2),(1)}(q) &= \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}(q^3-q^2+1)}{(q-1)^2(q^2-1)},\\
\mathcal{W}_{(1,1),(1)}(q) &= \frac{q^3-q+1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}(q-1)^2(q^2-1)},\\
\mathcal{W}_{(2),(1,1)}(q) &= \frac{q(q^4-q^2+1)}{(q-1)^2(q^2-1)^2},\\
\mathcal{W}_{(1,1),(1,1)}(q) &= \frac{q^6-q^5+2q^3-q^2-q+1}{q^2(q-1)^2(q^2-1)^2},\\
\mathcal{W}_{(2),(2)}(q) &= \frac{q^2(q^6-q^5-q^4+2q^3-q+1)}{(q-1)^2(q^2-1)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

From these examples, one can see that $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}$ has the following form:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu}(q) = \frac{f(q)}{g(q)} \text{ or } \frac{q^{1/2}f(q)}{g(q)},$$

where $f(q)$ and $g(q)$ are polynomials in q.

In general, if $f(q)$ is a series in $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]]$, i.e., of the form:

$$\sum_{n\leq M} a_n q^n$$

for some integer M such that $a_M \neq 0$, then we define $\deg_q f(q) = M$. It is easy to see that these definitions are well-defined. In the following when we take $\deg_q$ of some function $f(q)$, we mean first taking the expansion of $f(q)$ into a series in $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]]$. If $f(q)$ and $g(q)$ are two series in q of the above form, then it is easy to see that

$$\deg_q \frac{f(q)}{g(q)} = \deg_q f(q) - \deg_q g(q).$$

We define

$$\deg_q \frac{q^{1/2}f(q)}{g(q)} = \frac{1}{2} + \deg_q f(q) - \deg_q g(q).$$

Lemma 4.3. *For two partitions μ and ν, one has*

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu}(q) \leq |\mu| \cdot |\nu| - (|\mu| + |\nu|)/2. \tag{69}$$

The equality holds iff $\mu = (|\mu|)$ and $\nu = (|\nu|)$.

Moreover, if $\mu \neq (|\mu|)$, we have

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu}(q) \leq |\mu| \cdot |\nu| - (|\mu| + |\nu|)/2 - (|\nu| + 1),$$

the equality holds iff $\mu = (|\mu| - 1, 1)$ and $\nu = (|\nu|)$; if $\mu \neq (|\mu|)$ and $\mu \neq (|\mu| - 1, 1)$, we have

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu}(q) \leq |\mu| \cdot |\nu| - (|\mu| + |\nu|)/2 - 2(|\nu| + 1),$$

the equality holds iff $\mu = (|\mu| - 2, 2)$ and $\nu = (|\nu|)$.

Proof. Because (64), we have

$$s_\mu(q^\varrho) = q^{-|\mu|/2 - n(\mu)} \prod_{x \in Y(\mu)} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-h(x)}}, \tag{70}$$

where $Y(\mu)$ is the Young tableau of μ and $h(x)$ is the hook number. It is clear that

$$\deg_q s_\mu(q^\rho) = -|\mu|/2 - n(\mu). \tag{71}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(q^{\mu+\rho}) &= q^{-m/2}\left(q^{m\mu_1} + q^{m(\mu_2 - 1)} + \cdots + q^{m(\mu_l - (l-1))} + \sum_{j=l}^{\infty} q^{-mj}\right) \\ &= q^{-m/2}\left(q^{m\mu_1} + q^{m(\mu_2 - 1)} + \cdots + q^{m(\mu_l - (l-1))} + \frac{q^{-ml}}{1 - q^{-m}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

so one has for all partitions η with $|\eta| = |\nu|$,

$$p_\eta(q^{\mu+\rho}) = q^{|\nu| \cdot \mu_1 - |\nu|/2} + \cdots, \tag{72}$$

where $\cdots$ stands for lower order terms. Hence by (56):

$$\begin{aligned} s_\nu(q^{\mu+\varrho}) &= \sum_{|\eta|=|\nu|} \frac{\chi_\nu(\eta)}{z_\eta} p_\eta(q^{\mu+\rho}) \\ &= \sum_{|\eta|=|\nu|} \frac{\chi_\nu(\eta)}{z_\eta} q^{|\nu| \cdot \mu_1} + \cdots \\ &= \delta_{\nu,(|\nu|)} q^{|\nu| \cdot \mu_1} + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we have used the orthogonality relations for characters to get:

$$\sum_{|\eta|=|\nu|} \frac{\chi_\nu(\eta)}{z_\eta} = \delta_{\nu,(|\nu|)}.$$

It follows that

$$\deg_q s_\nu(q^{\mu+\rho}) \leq \mu_1 \cdot |\nu| - |\nu|/2 = \deg_q s_{(|\nu|)}(q^{\mu+\rho}), \tag{73}$$

with the equality holds iff $\eta = (|\eta|)$. Therefore, by (67),

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu} \leq \mu_1 \cdot |\nu| - n(\mu) - \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| + |\nu|) = \deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu,(|\nu|)}. \tag{74}$$

The equality holds iff $\eta = (|\eta|)$. Now we use the symmetry (68) to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu,(|\nu||)} &= \deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(|\nu|),\mu} \leq \deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(|\nu|),(|\mu|)} \\ &= |\mu| \cdot |\nu| - (|\mu| + |\nu|)/2. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds iff $\mu = (|\mu|)$.

If $\mu \neq (|\mu|)$, we have $\mu_1 \leq |\mu| - 1$ and $-n_\mu \leq -1$, hence

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu} \leq (|\mu| - 1) \cdot |\nu| - 1 - \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| + |\nu|) = \deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(|\mu|-1,1),(|\nu|)}. \tag{75}$$

Moreover, if $\mu \neq (|\mu|)$ and $\mu \neq (|\mu| - 1, 1)$, we have $\mu_1 \leq |\mu| - 2$ and $-n_\mu \leq -2$, hence

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu} \leq (|\mu| - 2) \cdot |\nu| - 2 - \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| + |\nu|) = \deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(|\mu|-2,2),(|\nu|)}. \tag{76}$$

□

4.7. **Explicit formula for $\mathcal{W}_{(m),(n)}$.**

In last subsection we have shown that

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(m),(n)} = mn - (m+n)/2.$$

In this subsection we will present an explicit formula for $\mathcal{W}_{(m),(n)}$.

Lemma 4.4. *The following identity holds:*

$$\mathcal{W}_{(m),(n)}(q) = q^{mn - \frac{1}{2}(m+n)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{q^{-k(m+1)}}{[m]_{q^{-1}}![k]_{q^{-1}}!}. \tag{77}$$

Proof. By (67), (70) we have

$$\mathcal{W}_{(m),(n)}(q) = s_{(m)}(q^\varrho) s_{(n)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}).$$

We now compute $s_{(m)}(q^\varrho)$ and $s_{(n)}(q^{(m)+\varrho})$. Recall $s_{(m)} = h_m$, hence

$$s_{(m)}(q^\varrho) = h_m(q^\rho) = \frac{q^{-m/2}}{[m]_{q^{-1}}!}, \tag{78}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n\geq 0} s_{(n)}(q^{(m)+\varrho})t^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} h_n(q^{(m)+\varrho})t^n\\ = \quad & \frac{1}{1-q^{m-1/2}t}\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^{-i-1/2}t} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{mj-j/2}t^j\cdot\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{-k/2}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}t^k\\ = \quad & \sum_{n\geq 0} q^{-n/2}t^n\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{q^{m(n-k)-k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$s_{(n)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}) = q^{-n/2}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{q^{mn-(m+1)k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}. \tag{79}$$

This completes the proof. □

5. Closed Formula for Leading Gopakumar-Vafa Invariants of Local $\mathbb{P}^2$ Geometry

In this section we will explicitly compute the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_d^{(d-1)(d-2)/2-\delta}$ of $\kappa_{\P^2}$ for $0\leq\delta\leq d-2$ based on duality with link invariants. We will verify the predictions of Katz-Klemm-Vafa [KKV] in this case. This method will be generalized to the case of $0\leq\delta\leq 2d-4$ where no predictions of the closed formula have been made in the literature. It will be applied to other toric Fano surfaces in Part II of this paper [GZ].

5.1. **A formula for the free energy $F^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}}$.** Since $H_2(\mathbb{P}^2;\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}H$, where H is the hyperplane class, we will use an integer d to index a homology class in $H_2(\mathbb{P}^2;\mathbb{Z})$ and write $K_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ for $K_{dH}^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$. The instanton part of the free energy of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ is

$$F^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}} = \sum_{g\geq 0}\lambda^{2g-2}\sum_{d>0}K_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})\cdot t^d, \tag{80}$$

and the topological partition function of the local $\mathbb{P}^2$ geometry is defined by

$$Z^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}} = \exp F^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}}.$$

It can be explicitly computed [AMV, I, Z3] by Chern-Simons invariants of Hopf link:

$$Z^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}} = \sum_{\mu^1,\mu^2,\mu^3}\mathcal{W}_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(q)\mathcal{W}_{\mu^2,\mu^3}(q)\mathcal{W}_{\mu^3,\mu^1}(q)\cdot q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\kappa_{\mu^i}}(-t)^{\sum_{i=1}^{3}|\mu^i|}.$$

For a fixed $d \geq 1$, denote the coefficient of t^d in $Z^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}}$ by $\mathcal{I}(d)$, and denote by $F(d)$ the coefficient of t^d in $F^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}}$, i.e., we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}} &= 1 + \sum_{d \geq 1} \mathcal{I}(d) t^d, \\ F^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}} &= \sum_{d \geq 1} F(d) t^d. \end{aligned}$$

So from $F^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}} = \log Z^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(1) &= \mathcal{I}(1) \\ F(2) &= \mathcal{I}(2) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{I}(1)^2, \\ F(3) &= \mathcal{I}(3) - \mathcal{I}(1) I(2) + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{I}(1)^3, \end{aligned}$$

in general

$$F(d) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \sum_{d_1 + \cdots + d_k = d} \mathcal{I}(d_1) \cdots \mathcal{I}(d_k). \tag{81}$$

It is clear that

$$\mathcal{I}(d) = (-1)^d \cdot \sum_{\sum_i |\mu^i| = d} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^3 k_{\mu^i})} \mathcal{W}_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(q) \mathcal{W}_{\mu^2,\mu^3}(q) \mathcal{W}_{\mu^3,\mu^1}(q), \tag{82}$$

so one has a way to explicitly compute all $F(d)$ and hence compute the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of $\mathbb{P}^2$. This has been used in [AMV] to obtain a table of Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}$, on which the observations in [Z1] were based. In this section we will use it to obtain closed formula for some leading Gopakumar-Vafa invariants.

5.2. **Degree estimates for $F^{\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}}$.** Our starting point is the degree estimates of the terms in $\mathcal{I}(d)$ based on Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3. We regroup the terms in $\mathcal{I}(d)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(d) &= (-1)^d \cdot \sum_{\sum_i d_i = d} q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \kappa_{(d_i)}} \mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2)}(q) \mathcal{W}_{(d_2),(d_3)}(q) \mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q) \\ &+ (-1)^d \cdot \sum_{\sum_i |\mu_i| = d}{}' q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \kappa_{\mu_i}} \mathcal{W}_{\mu_1\mu_2}(q) \mathcal{W}_{\mu_2\mu_3}(q) \mathcal{W}_{\mu_3\mu_1}(q), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum'_{|\mu_1|+|\mu_2|+|\mu_3|=d}$ means at least one of μ^1, μ^2, μ^3 is not of the form (m). By (77),

$$\sum_{\sum_i d_i = d} q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \kappa_{(d_i)}} \cdot \mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2)}(q) \mathcal{W}_{(d_2),(d_3)}(q) \mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q) = q^{(d^2-3d)/2} W_d(q),$$

where

$$W_d(q)=\sum_{d_1+d_2+d_3=d}\sum_{k_1=0}^{d_1}\sum_{k_2=0}^{d_2}\sum_{k_3=0}^{d_3}\frac{q^{-k_2(d_1+1)}q^{-k_3(d_2+1)}q^{-k_1(d_3+1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{3}([d_i]_{q^{-1}}![k_i]_{q^{-1}}!)}. \tag{83}$$

In particular, we have

$$\deg_q \sum_{\sum_i d_i=d} q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \kappa_{(d_i)}}\mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_2),(d_3)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q)=(d^2-3d)/2. \tag{84}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that* μ^1,μ^2,μ^3 *such that* $|\mu^i|=d_i$, $i=1,2,3$, *and at least one of them is not of the form* (m).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\deg_q(q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \kappa_{\mu_i}}\mathcal{W}_{\mu_1\mu_2}(q)\mathcal{W}_{\mu_2\mu_3}(q)\mathcal{W}_{\mu_3\mu_1}(q))\\
-\ &\deg_q(q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^3 \kappa_{(d_i)}}\cdot\mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_2),(d_3)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q))\\
\leq\ & -(d_1+d_2+d_3)-2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. There are three cases to consider: Case 1. Exactly one of μ^i's is not of the form (m); Case 2. Exactly two of μ^i's are not of the form (m); Case 3. Exactly three of μ^i's are not of the form (m). For Case 1, assume $\mu^1=(d_1)$, $\mu^2=(d_2)$, $|\mu^3|=d_3$ but $\mu^3\neq(d_3)$, then by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\deg_q q^{\kappa_{\mu^3}/2}-\deg_q q^{\kappa_{(d_3)}/2}\leq -d_3,$$

and by Lemma 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(d_2),\mu^3}(q)-\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(d_2),(d_3)}(q)\leq -(d_2+1),\\
\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu^3,(d_1)}(q)-\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q)\leq -(d_1+1),
\end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\deg_q(q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^2 \kappa_{(d_i)}+\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mu^3}}\cdot\mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_2),\mu^3}(q)\mathcal{W}_{\mu^3,(d_1)}(q))\\
-\ &\deg_q(q^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^3 \kappa_{(d_i)}}\cdot\mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_2),(d_3)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q))\\
\leq\ & -d_3-(d_2+1)-(d_1+1)\\
=\ & -d-2.
\end{aligned}$$

The other two cases can be treated in the same fashion. □

We will use the following notations: For a series $f(q)$ and $g(q)$ of the form

$$f(q)=a_nq^n+a_{n-1}q^{n-1}+a_{n-2}q^{n-2}+\cdots$$

where $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, and $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $m\leq n$, define $f(q)|_{g\geq m}$ by

$$f(q)=a_nq^n+a_{n-1}q^{n-1}+a_{n-2}q^{n-2}+\cdots+a_mq^m.$$

If $f(q)$ and $g(q)$ are series of the above form, and $f(q)|_{q\geq m} = g(1)|_{g\geq m}$, then we write

$$f(q) = g(q)|_{q\geq m}.$$

So we have proved the following:

Lemma 5.2. *For* $d \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{I}(d) = (-1)^d q^{(d^2-3d)/2} W_d(q)\bigg|_{q\geq (d^2-3d)/2-d-1}, \tag{85}$$

Proposition 5.3. *For* $d \geq 1$, $\deg_q F(d) \leq (d^2-3d)/2$. *Furthermore, all the terms of the form* $q^{(d^2-3d)/2-\delta}$ *for* $0 \leq \delta \leq d-2$ *come from* $\mathcal{I}(d)$. *I.e.,*

$$F(d) = \mathcal{I}(d)|_{q\geq (d^2-3d)/2-d+2}. \tag{86}$$

Proof. Suppose that $k > 1$, and $d_1, \dots, d_k > 0$ are positive integers such that $d_1 + \cdots + d_k = d$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_q(\mathcal{I}(d_1)\cdots\mathcal{I}(d_k)) &= \frac{1}{2}[(d_1^2-3d_1)+\cdots+(d_k^2-3d_k)] \\ = \frac{1}{2}(d^2-3d) - \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} d_i d_j &\leq \frac{1}{2}(d^2-3d)-(d-1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have use the following inequality: For positive integers $d_1, \dots, d_k$ ($k > 1$), one has

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} d_i d_j \geq \sum_{i=1}^k d_i - 1. \tag{87}$$

This can be easily proved by induction. The proof is completed by noting (81). ☐

5.3. **A vanishing result for Gopakumar-Vafa invariants for local $\mathbb{P}^2$.** For the local $\mathbb{P}^2$ geometry the Gopakumar-Vafa Conjecture has been proved by Peng [P] and Konishi [Ko1, Ko2]. So we have

$$F = \sum_{d\geq 1}\sum_{g\geq 0} n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \sum_{k>0} \frac{(-1)^{g-1}}{k}(q^{\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}})^{2g-2} t^{kd}, \tag{88}$$

for some integers $n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$. Therefore by comparing the coefficients of t^d, one gets:

$$F(d) = \sum_{k|d}\sum_{g\geq 0} n_{d/k}^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \frac{(-1)^{g-1}}{k}(q^{\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}})^{2g-2}. \tag{89}$$

This must be a finite sum because we have

$$\deg_q F(d) = (d^2 - 3d)/2. \tag{90}$$

It follows that if $n^g_{d/k} \neq 0$, then

$$k \cdot (g-1) \leq (d^2 - 3d)/2. \tag{91}$$

In particular, taking $k = 1$ we get

Proposition 5.4. *For the local $\mathbb{P}^2$ geometry, if $n^g_d \neq 0$, then*

$$g \leq g(d) := (d-1)(d-2)/2. \tag{92}$$

This was empirically observed in [GV1, AMV] and proved by Peng [P] by similar arguments.

5.4. **Leading Gopakumar-Vafa invariants for local $\mathbb{P}^2$.** With the above vanishing result one can rewrite (89) as follows:

$$F(d) = \sum_{k|d} \sum_{g=0}^{g(d/k)} n^g_{d/k}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \frac{(-1)^{g-1}}{k} (q^{\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}})^{2g-2}. \tag{93}$$

We can write it as

$$F(d) = \sum_{k|d} F^k(d), \tag{94}$$

where

$$F^k(d) = \sum_{g=0}^{g(d/k)} n^g_{d/k}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \frac{(-1)^{g-1}}{k} (q^{\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}})^{2g-2}. \tag{95}$$

Because for $k > 1$ and $0 \leq g \leq g(d/k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_q (q^{\frac{k}{2}} - q^{\frac{k}{2}})^{2g-2} &= \frac{k}{2} \cdot (2g-2) \\ \leq\ & \frac{k}{2} \cdot (2g(d/k) - 2) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2}{k} - 3d) \\ =\ & \frac{1}{2}(d^2 - 3d + 2) - [\frac{d}{2}(d - \frac{d}{k}) + 1] \leq g(d) - d, \end{aligned}$$

moreover if $d > 3$ we have

$$\deg_q (q^{\frac{k}{2}} - q^{\frac{k}{2}})^{2g-2} \leq g(d) - (\frac{d^2}{4} + 1) \leq g(d) - (2d - 3).$$

So one gets,

$$F(d) = F^1(d) = \sum_{g=0}^{g(d)} n^g_d(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) (-1)^{g-1} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2g-2} \Big|_{q^{\geq g(d)-d+1}}, \tag{96}$$

and if $d > 3$

$$F(d) = F^1(d)\Big|_{q^{\geq g(d)-2d+4}}. \tag{97}$$

In other words,

$$\sum_{g=g(d)-d+1}^{g(d)} n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})(-1)^{g-1}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2g-2} = F(d)|_{q^{\geq g(d)-d+1}} \tag{98}$$

Now we combine this equation with (86) and (85) to get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{g=g(d)-d+2}^{g(d)} n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})(-1)^{g-1}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2g-2} \\ =&(-1)^d \cdot q^{(d^2-3d)/2} W_d(q)|_{q^{\geq g(d)-d+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

The following easy result will be useful:

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that $f(q) = g(q)|_{q^{\geq m}}$. Then*
(a) $qf(q) = qg(q)|_{q^{\geq m+1}}$.
(b) For $j > 0$, $(1 - q^{-j})f(q) = (1 - q^{-j})g(q)|_{q^{\geq m}}$.

Proof. Suppose that $f(q) = a_nq^n + a_{n-1}q^{n-1} + \cdots + a_mq^m + a_{m-1}q^{m-1} + \cdots$, and $g(q) = a_nq^n + a_{n-1}q^{n-1} + \cdots + a_mq^m + b_{m-1}q^{m-1} + \cdots$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} qf(q) &= a_nq^{n+1} + a_{n-1}q^n + \cdots + a_mq^{m+1} + a_{m-1}q^m + \cdots, \\ qg(q) &= a_nq^{n+1} + a_{n-1}q^n + \cdots + a_mq^{m+1} + b_mq^{m-1} + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

this proves (a). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q^{-j})f(q) &= a_nq^n + a_{n-1}q^{n-1} + \cdots + a_mq^m + a_{m-1}q^{m-1} + \cdots \\ &\quad -a_nq^{n-j} + a_{n-1}q^{n-1-j} + \cdots + a_mq^{m-j} + a_{m-1}q^{m-1-j} + \cdots \\ (1 - q^{-j})g(q) &= a_nq^n + a_{n-1}q^{n-1} + \cdots + a_mq^m + b_{m-1}q^{m-1} + \cdots \\ &\quad -a_nq^{n-j} + a_{n-1}q^{n-1-j} + \cdots + a_mq^{m-j} + b_{m-1}q^{m-1-j} + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

hence (b) is evident. □

Multiplying both sides of (99) by $q(1 - q^{-1})^2$, we get by the above Lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{g=g(d)-d+2}^{g(d)} n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})(-1)^{g-1}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2g} \\ =&(-1)^d \cdot q^{g(d)}(1 - q^{-1})^2 \cdot W_d(q)|_{q^{\geq g(d)-d+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

It follows that for fixed d, when $g(d)-d+2 \leq g \leq g(d)$, $n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ is determined by the coefficients of q^l in $W_d(q)$ for $-d+2 \leq l \leq 0$. Such invariants will be referred as the leading Gopakumar-Vafa invariants.

We will not directly evaluate $n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$, but instead will consider the transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants.

5.5. **Transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants.** Recall the transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $N_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ is defined by:

$$\sum_{g=0}^{g(d)} N_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})(q^g+q^{g-2}+\cdots+q^{-g}) = \sum_{g=0}^{g(d)}(-1)^g n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2g},$$

Multiply both sides by $1-q^{-2}$ to get:

$$\sum_{g=0}^{g(d)} N_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})\cdot(q^g-q^{-g-2}) = (1-q^{-2})\sum_{g=0}^{g(d)}(-1)^g n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2g}.$$

We take the terms of the form q^k for $k \geq g(d)-d+2$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{g=g(d)-d+2}^{g(d)} N_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})q^g \\
=&(1-q^{-2})\sum_{g=0}^{g(d)}(-1)^g n_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2g}\Big|_{q^{\geq g(d)-d+2}} \\
=&(-1)^{d-1}(1-q^{-2})(1-q^{-1})^2 q^{g(d)} W_d(q)\big|_{q^{\geq g(d)-d+2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

So in order to find the leading transformed Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $N_d^g(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ for $g(d)-d+2 \leq g \leq g(d)$, we need to find the coefficients of $q^{-\delta}$ in $W_d(q)$ for $\delta \leq d-2$.

5.6. **Calculations of $W_d(q)$ by hypergeometric series.** In this subsection we will evaluate the following summation:

$$W_d(q) = \sum_{d_1+d_2+d_3=d}\sum_{k_1=0}^{d_1}\sum_{k_2=0}^{d_2}\sum_{k_3=0}^{d_3}\frac{q^{-k_2(d_1+1)}q^{-k_3(d_2+1)}q^{-k_1(d_3+1)}}{\prod_{i=1}^3([d_i]_{q^{-1}}![k_i]_{q^{-1}}!)}. \tag{102}$$

For $m \geq 0$, we introduce an operator $T_m^x : \mathbb{C}[[x]] \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows: For $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots$, define

$$T_m^x f(x) := a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m. \tag{103}$$

Lemma 5.6. *The following identities hold:*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{q^{-k(d+1)}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}=T_m^x\left(\frac{1}{(xq^{-d-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right), \tag{104}$$

$$\frac{1}{[d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}\sum_{k=0}^{d_3}\frac{q^{-k(d_2+1)}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}=\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}T_{d_3}^x\left(\frac{(q^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\right). \tag{105}$$

Proof. Take $z=xq^{-d-1}$ in (66),

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{x^n q^{-n(d+1)}}{[n]_q!}=\frac{1}{(xq^{-d-1},q)_\infty}. \tag{106}$$

Then one applies T_m^x on both sides to get the first identity. For the second identity, just note

$$\frac{1}{[d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}=\frac{(q^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}. \tag{107}$$

$\square$

By (105),

$$\begin{aligned}W_d(q)=\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d}&\left(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}T_{d_3}^x\left(\frac{(q^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right)+\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\right)\\&\cdot\left(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}T_{d_2}^x\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right)+\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\right)\\&\cdot\left(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}T_{d_1}^x\left(\frac{(q^{-d_3-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_3-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right)+\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Now we can regroup the terms on the right-hand side of (102) as follows:

$$W_d(q)=W_d^1(q)+W_d^2(q)+W_d^3(q)+W_d^4(q), \tag{108}$$

where

$$W^1_d(q) = \sum_{\sum d_i = d} \frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3} = \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2\cdot([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}, \tag{109}$$

$$W^2_d(q) = 3\sum_{\sum d_i = d} \frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\cdot T^x_{d_2}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right), \tag{110}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^3_d(q) = {} & 3\sum_{\sum d_i = d} \frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\cdot T^x_{d_2}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right) \\ & \cdot T^x_{d_3}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right), \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^4_d(q) = {} & 3\sum_{\sum d_i = d} \frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\cdot T^x_{d_2}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right) \\ & \cdot T^x_{d_3}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right)\cdot T^x_{d_1}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_3-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_3-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right). \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

Lemma 5.7. *We have the following formulas for the leading term:*

$$\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!} = q^{-(d+1)}\cdot(1 + a_1 q^{-1} + a_2 q^{-2} + \cdots), \tag{113}$$

$$T^x_m\left(\frac{(q^{-d-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right) = q^{-(d+1)(m+1)}\cdot(b_0 + b_1 q^{-1} + \cdots). \tag{114}$$

Proof. These follow from straightforward calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!} = \frac{1}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!}\left(\prod_{j=d+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-q^{-j}} - 1\right) \\ = {} & \frac{1}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!}\cdot(q^{-(d+1)} + \cdots) = q^{-(d+1)} + \cdot. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & T^x_m\left(\frac{(q^{-d-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right) \\ = {} & T^x_m\left(\frac{1}{(xq^{-d-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\cdot\frac{1}{\frac{1}{(q^{-d-1};q^{-1})_\infty}} - 1\right) \\ = {} & \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{q^{-k(d+1)}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{-k(d+1)}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}} - 1 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{-k(d+1)}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}}{\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{q^{-k(d+1)}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}}} - 1 \\ = {} & -q^{-(m+1)(d+1)} + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where in the third identity we have used (66). □

Using Lemma 5.7 we get

$$W_d^3(q) = 3 \sum_{\sum d_i = d} (q^{-(d_1+1)(d_2+1)} + \cdots) \cdot (-q^{-(d_2+1)(d_3+1)} + \cdots),$$

it follows that $\deg_q W_d^c(q) \le -(d+2)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_q W_d^4(q) = \max\{&-(d_1+1)(d_2+1) - (d_2+1)(d_3+1)\\ &-(d_3+1)(d_1+1) : d_1+d_2+d_3 = d\} \le -(2d+3).\end{aligned}$$

Since we are concerned with the coefficients of q^l for $-d+2 \le l \le 0$, such part of contributions are all from $W_d^a(q)$ and $W_d^b(q)$.

5.7. **Computations of $W_d^2(q)$.**

Proposition 5.8. *We have*

$$\sum_{d\ge 0} \left(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!} \right) = \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} \sum_{i\ge 1} \frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}.$$

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d\ge 0} t^d \left(\frac{1}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} \right) &= \frac{1}{(t, q^{-1})_\infty} - \frac{1}{(1-t)(q^{-1}, q^{-1})_\infty}\\ &= \frac{1}{t-1} \left(\frac{1}{(tq^{-1}, q^{-1})_\infty} - \frac{1}{(q^{-1}, q^{-1})_\infty} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to 1} \sum_{d\ge 0} t^d \left(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!} \right) &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{(tq^{-1}, q^{-1})_\infty} \bigg|_{t=1}\\ &= \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} \sum_{i\ge 1} \frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.9. *Write*

$$W_\infty^2 = \frac{3}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3} \sum_{d_1, d_2 \ge 0} T_{d_2}^x \left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1}; q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1}; q^{-1})_\infty} - 1 \right),$$

then we have:

$$W_\infty^2 = -\frac{3}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3} \sum_{i\ge 1} \frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}. \tag{115}$$

Proof. We use Abel summation to carry out $\sum_{d_1\geq 0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{d_1\geq 0}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right)\\
=\ &\lim_{t\to 1}\sum_{d_1\geq 0}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}t^{d_1}-t^{d_1}\right)\\
=\ &\lim_{t\to 1}\Bigg(\frac{(q^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\sum_{d_1\geq 0}\frac{(xq^{-1};q^{-1})_{d_1}}{(q^{-1};q^{-1})_{d_1}}t^{d_1}-\frac{1}{1-t}\Bigg)\\
=\ &\lim_{t\to 1}\Bigg(\frac{(q^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\cdot\frac{(xq^{-1}t;q^{-1})_\infty}{(t;q^{-1})_\infty}-\frac{1}{1-t}\Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we have used the q-binomial identity (65). Note

$$(t;q^{-1})_\infty=(1-t)\cdot(tq^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty.$$

So we can move forward as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{d_1\geq 0}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right)\\
=\ &-\lim_{t\to 1}\frac{1}{t-1}\left(\frac{(q^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\cdot\frac{(xq^{-1}t;q^{-1})_\infty}{(tq^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right)\\
=\ &-\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{(q^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\cdot\frac{(xq^{-1}t;q^{-1})_\infty}{(tq^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\right)\Bigg|_{t=1}\\
=\ &\sum_{i\geq 1}\left(\frac{xq^{-i}}{1-xq^{-i}}-\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note

$$T^x_{d_2}\left(\frac{xq^{-i}}{1-xq^{-i}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{d_2}x^jq^{-ij}|_{x=1}=\sum_{j=1}^{d_2}q^{-ij}=\frac{(1-q^{-id_2})q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}},$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{d_2\geq 0} T^x_{d_2} \sum_{d_1\geq 0}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right)\\
=\ &\sum_{d_2\geq 0} T^x_{d_2} \sum_{i\geq 1}\left(\frac{xq^{-i}}{1-xq^{-i}}-\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}\right)\\
=\ &\sum_{d_2\geq 0}\sum_{i\geq 1}\left(\frac{(1-q^{-id_2})q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}-\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}\right)\\
=\ &-\sum_{d_2\geq 0}\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{(q^{-i})^{(d_2+1)}}{1-q^{-i}} = -\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As a corollary we have

Proposition 5.10. *We have*

$$W^2_d(q) = -\frac{3}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}\bigg|_{q^{\geq -d}}. \tag{116}$$

Proof. Just consider

$$W^{2'}_d(q) = W^2_d(q) - W^2_\infty(q) = \frac{-3}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\sum_{d_1+d_2>d} T^x_{d_2}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right),$$

by Lemma 5.7 we have

$$\deg_q W^{2'}_d(q) = \max\{-(d_1+1)(d_2+1) : d_1+d_2>d\} \leq -(d+1).$$

□

Combining all the results in this subsection, we get

Theorem 5.11. *When $W_d(q)$ is expanded into a series in $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]]$, one has*

$$W_d(q) = \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\left(\binom{d+2}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}\right)\bigg|_{q^{\geq -d}}. \tag{117}$$

5.8. **Computation of leading transformed GV invariants.** By (101) we have

$$\sum_{\delta=0}^{d-2}(-1)^{d-1}N_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})q^{-\delta} = (1-q^{-2})(1-q^{-1})^2 W_d(q)|_{q^{\geq -d+2}}. \tag{118}$$

Hence by Theorem 5.11 we get:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\delta=0}^{d-2}(-1)^{d-1}N_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})q^{-\delta}\\ =&\frac{[1]_{q^{-1}}^2[2]_{q^{-1}}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\left(\binom{d+2}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}\right)\Bigg|_{q^{\geq -d+2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

In other words, we have proved the following

Theorem 5.12. *For $\delta \geq 0$, when $d \geq \delta+2$, $M_d^{\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) := (-1)^{d-1}N_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ is a quadratic polynomial $M_\delta(d)$ in d, and they have the following generating series*

$$\sum_{\delta\geq 0}M_\delta(x)q^\delta = \frac{[1]^2[2]}{[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{x+2}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2}\right). \tag{120}$$

The first few terms as in the Introduction where we use M_d^δ for $M_\delta(d)$ are

$$M_0(d) = \frac{1}{2}(d^2+3d+2), \tag{121}$$

$$M_1(d) = \frac{1}{2}(d^2+3d-4), \quad (d\geq 3) \tag{122}$$

$$M_2(d) = \frac{3}{2}(d^2+3d-6), \quad (d\geq 4) \tag{123}$$

$$M_3(d) = 3(d^2+3d)-24, \quad (d\geq 5) \tag{124}$$

$$M_4(d) = 6(d^2+3d-11), \quad (d\geq 6) \tag{125}$$

$$M_5(d) = \frac{21}{2}(d^2+3d)-144, \quad (d\geq 7) \tag{126}$$

$$M_6(d) = 20(d^2+3d-16), \quad (d\geq 8) \tag{127}$$

$$M_7(d) = \frac{67}{2}(d^2+3d)-626, \quad (d\geq 9) \tag{128}$$

$$M_8(d) = \frac{117}{2}(d^2+3d)-1233, \quad (d\geq 10). \tag{129}$$

5.9. **Closed formula for leading GV invariants of local $\mathbb{P}^2$ geometry.** Recall the GV invariants n_d^g and the transformed GV invariants N_d^g are related as follows:

$$\sum_{g=0}^{g(d)}(-1)^g n_d^g(q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^{2g} = \sum_{g=0}^{g(d)}N_d^g(q^g+q^{g-2}+\cdots q^{-g}). \tag{130}$$

Denote by $SP(q)$ the space of Laurent polynomials $p(q) \in \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ such that

$$p(q^{-1}) = p(q).$$

Consider the following two bases of $SP(q)$:

$$S_g = (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^{2g}, \tag{131}$$

$$R_g = (q^g + q^{g-2} + \cdots + q^{-g}). \tag{132}$$

Lemma 5.13. *The bases* $\{S_g\}$ *and* $\{R_g\}$ *are related as follows:*

$$S_g = \sum_{j=0}^{g} (-1)^{g-j} \left(\binom{2g}{g-j} - \binom{2g}{g-j-2} \right) R_j, \tag{133}$$

$$R_g = \sum_{j=0}^{g} \binom{g+j+1}{g-j} S_j. \tag{134}$$

Proof. By applying the binomial expansion to (131) one gets:

$$S_g = g^g - \binom{2g}{1} q^{g-1} + \binom{2g}{2} q^{g-2} + \cdots + q^{-g}. \tag{135}$$

From this one gets the first identity. To prove the second identity, consider the generating series:

$$S(t) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} S_g t^g = \frac{1}{1 - (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^2 t},$$

$$R(t) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} R_g t^g = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} \left(\frac{q}{1 - qt} - \frac{q^{-1}}{1 - q^{-1}t} \right) = \frac{1}{(1 - qt)(1 - q^{-1}t)}.$$

By an elementary calculation one can see that

$$R(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} S\left(\frac{t}{(1-t)^2} \right).$$

I.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \geq 0} R_g t^g &= \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j \cdot \frac{t^j}{(1-t)^{2j+2}} \\ &= \sum_{g \geq 0} t^g \sum_{j=0}^{g} \binom{g+j+1}{g-j} S_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence we have

Lemma 5.14. *The GV numbers* $\{n_d^g\}$ *and* $\{N_d^g\}$ *are related as follows:*

$$N_d^h = (-1)^h \sum_{g \geq h} \left(\binom{2g}{g-h} - \binom{2g}{g-h-2} \right), \tag{136}$$

$$n_d^g = (-1)^g \sum_{h \geq g} N_d^h \binom{g+h+1}{h-g}. \tag{137}$$

Applying this result, we have for the local $\mathbb{P}^2$ geometry,

$$n_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = (-1)^{g(d)-\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{\delta} N_d^{g(d)-j} \cdot \binom{2g(d)-\delta-j+1}{\delta-j}. \tag{138}$$

Recall $g(d) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$ and by Theorem 5.12, when $d \geq \delta + 2$, the number $(-1)^{d-1} N_d^{g(d)-j}$ is a quadratic polynomial, it follows that under the same condition, $(-1)^{g(d)+d-1-\delta} n_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ is a polynomial $n_\delta(d)$ given by:

$$n_\delta(d) := \sum_{j=0}^{\delta} M_j(d) \cdot \binom{d^2-3d+3-\delta-j}{\delta-j}. \tag{139}$$

The first few terms are

$$n_0(d) = \frac{1}{2}(d^2+3d+2), \tag{140}$$

$$n_1(d) = \frac{1}{2}d(d-1)(d^2+d-3), \quad (d \geq 3) \tag{141}$$

$$n_2(d) = \frac{1}{4}(d-1)(d^5-2d^4-6d^3+9d^2+36), \quad (d \geq 4) \tag{142}$$

Theorem 5.15. *Let* t *and* q *be related by*

$$t = \frac{q}{(1-q)^2}, \qquad q = \frac{1+2t-\sqrt{1+4t}}{2t}. \tag{143}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\delta \geq 0} n_\delta(x) t^\delta = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{x^2-3x+2}(1-q^2)} \cdot \sum_{j \geq 0} M_j(x) q^j \tag{144}$$

and

$$\sum_{\delta \geq 0} n_\delta(x) t^\delta = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{x^2-3x} \cdot [\infty]!^3} \left(\binom{x+2}{2} - 3 \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2} \right). \tag{145}$$

Proof. We will use the following identity:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{\prod_{j=k}^{2k-1}(m-j)}{k!}t^k=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4t}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t}}{2}\right)^{m+1}. \tag{146}$$

From this we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\delta\geq 0}n_\delta(x)t^\delta &= \sum_{\delta\geq 0}\sum_{j=0}^{\delta}M_j(x)\cdot\binom{x^2-3x+3-\delta-j}{\delta-j}t^\delta\\
&= \sum_{j\geq 0}M_j(x)q^j\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{x^2-3x+3-2j-k}{k}t^k\\
&= \sum_{j\geq 0}M_j(x)q^j\cdot\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4t}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t}}{2}\right)^{x^2-3x+4-2j}\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4t}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t}}{2}\right)^{x^2-3x+4}\\
&\quad\cdot\sum_{j\geq 0}M_j(x)t^j\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t}}{2}\right)^{-2j}\\
&= \frac{1-q}{1+q}\cdot\frac{1}{(1-q)^{x^2-3x+4}}\cdot\sum_{j\geq 0}M_j(x)q^j\\
&= \frac{1}{(1-q)^{x^2-3x+2}(1-q^2)}\cdot\sum_{j\geq 0}M_j(x)q^j.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first identity. Now the second identity follows from the first one and Theorem 5.12 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\delta\geq 0}n_\delta(x)t^\delta\\
=\ &\frac{1-q}{1+q}\cdot\frac{1}{(1-q)^{x^2-3x+4}}\cdot\frac{[1]^2[2]}{[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{x+2}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2}\right)\\
=\ &\frac{1}{(1-q)^{x^2-3x}\cdot[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{x+2}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note there is an amazing similarity with Göttsche-Yau-Zaslow formula [Got] (see also (19)):

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\delta\geq 0} T_\delta(L^2, L\cdot\kappa_S, c_1(S)^2, c_2(S))\cdot(DG_2(q))^\delta\\ = \quad & \frac{(DG_2(q)/q)^{\chi(L)}B_1(q)^{K_S^2}B_2(q)^{L\cdot\kappa_S}}{(\Delta(q)D^2G_2(q)/q^2)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)/2}},\end{aligned}$$

where $D = q\frac{d}{dq}$, G_2 is the second Eisenstein series

$$G_2(q) = -\frac{1}{24} + \sum_{n>0}\sum_{d|n} d\cdot q^n = -\frac{1}{24} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n}.$$

Note

$$DG_2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{n^2q^n}{(1-q^n)^2}, \tag{147}$$

and t is the first term in the above expression of DG_2.

5.10. **Comparison with the predictions by Katz-Klemm-Vafa.** In this subsection we will match our results with the prediction of Katz-Klemm-Vafa [KKV] in the case of local $\mathbb{P}^2$, where the formulas for $0 \leq \delta \leq 3$ were presented. They have used [KKV, (5.4)-(5.6)], We will instead use [KKV, (4.2),(4.15)].

Our notations are different from that in [KKV]. Denote by $\mathrm{Ch}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ the Chow variety of degree d plane algebraic curves. By the genus formula, a generic curve in this space has genus

$$g(d) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}.$$

Each such curve is defined by a nontrivial homogeneous polynomial in three variables x, y, z of degree d, and the space of such polynomials have degree $\binom{d+2}{2}$, so we know that $\mathrm{Ch}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is a projective space of dimension

$$g(-d) - 1 = \frac{d^2+3d}{2}. \tag{148}$$

The prediction of [KKV, (4.2)] in the local $\mathbb{P}^2$ case is

$$n_d^{g(d)}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = (-1)^{\dim \mathrm{Ch}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)} e(\mathrm{Ch}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)) = (-1)^{(d^2+3d)/2}\binom{d+2}{2}. \tag{149}$$

Denote by $\pi : \mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2) \to \mathrm{Ch}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ the universal curves, and for each j, let $\pi^{(j)} : \mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)} \to \mathrm{Ch}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ be the relative Hilbert schemes of j points. In other words, each fiber of π is a plane algebraic curve

$C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, and the corresponding fiber of $\pi^{(j)}$ is the Hilbert scheme of j points on C. It follows that there is a natural map

$$\varphi^{(j)} : \mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)} \to (\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)},$$

because of a 0-dimensional subscheme of length j on a plane algebraic curve C is automatically 0-dimensional subscheme of length j on $\mathbb{P}^2$. In the first paragraph of [KKV, §8], the authors claimed that for $j \leq d+2$, $\varphi^{(j)}$ is a fibration with fiber $\mathbb{P}^{d(d+3)/2-j}$, then one has

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}) &= e(\mathbb{P}^{d(d+3)/2-j}) \cdot e((\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}) \\ &= \left(\binom{d+2}{2} - j\right) \cdot e((\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}). \end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

It follows that when $d \geq j-2$, $e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)})$ is a quadratic polynomial $e_j(d)$ in d, and we have the following generating series:

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} e_j(x) q^j = \sum_{j\geq 0} \left(\frac{(x+2)(x+1)}{2} - j\right) \cdot e((\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}) q^j \tag{151}$$

By Göttsche's formula,

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} e((\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}) q^j = \frac{1}{\prod_{n\geq 0}(1-q^n)^{e(\mathbb{P}^2)}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{n\geq 0}(1-q^n)^3}.$$

By applying the operator $D = q\frac{d}{dq}$ we get:

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} j \cdot e((\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}) q^j = -3 \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{n\geq 0}(1-q^n)^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} e_j(x) q^j = \frac{1}{[\infty]!^3} \left(\frac{(x+2)(x+1)}{2} - 3\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n}\right). \tag{152}$$

By comparing with Theorem 5.12 we get

Proposition 5.16. *The polynomials $M_\delta(x)$ and $e_j(x)$ are related as follows:*

$$\sum_{\delta\geq 0} M_\delta(x) q^\delta = (1-q)^2(1-q^2) \cdot \sum_{j\geq 0} e_j(x) q^j. \tag{153}$$

The prediction of [KKV, (4.15)] in the local $\mathbb{P}^2$ case is

$$n_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = (-1)^{\dim \mathrm{Ch}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)+\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{\delta} b(g(d)-j, \delta-j) e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}), \tag{154}$$

where

$$b(g,k)=\begin{cases}\frac{2}{k!}(g-1)\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}(2g-(k+2)-i), & k\geq 1,\\ 1, & k=0.\end{cases} \tag{155}$$

Note there are some misprints in the formula for $b(g,k)$ in [KKV], but the correct expression can be found in [KKV, (5.5)]. It is clear that

$$b(g,k)=\binom{2g-2-k}{k}+\binom{2g-3-k}{k-1}. \tag{156}$$

Since we have defined the numbers $n_\delta(d)=(-1)^{g(d)+d-1-\delta}n_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$, we can rewrite (154) as follows:

$$n_\delta(d)=\sum_{j=0}^{\delta}b(g(d)-j,\delta-j)e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}). \tag{157}$$

Theorem 5.17. *Let t and q be related by*

$$t=\frac{q}{(1-q)^2},\qquad q=\frac{1+2t-\sqrt{1+4t}}{2t}. \tag{158}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\delta\geq 0}n_\delta(d)t^\delta=\frac{1}{(1-q)^{d^2-3d}}\sum_{j\geq 0}q^j\cdot e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}). \tag{159}$$

Proof. We use (146) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\delta\geq 0}n_\delta(d)t^\delta\\
= &\sum_{\delta\geq 0}t^\delta\sum_{j=0}^{\delta}\left(\binom{2g(d)-2-j-\delta}{\delta-j}+\binom{2g(d)-3-j-\delta}{\delta-j-1}\right)\cdot e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)})\\
= &\sum_{j\geq 0}t^j\cdot e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)})\\
&\cdot\sum_{k\geq 0}\left(\binom{2g-2-2j-k}{k}+\binom{2g-3-2j-k}{k-1}\right)t^k\\
= &\sum_{j\geq 0}t^j\cdot e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)})\\
&\cdot\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4t}}\left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t}}{2}\right)^{2g(d)-1-2j}+t\cdot\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t}}{2}\right)^{2g(d)-3-2j}\right)\\
= &\frac{1}{(1-q)^{d^2-3d}}\sum_{j\geq 0}q^j\cdot e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we have used $t = \frac{q}{(1-q)^2}$. □

Now the prediction by Klemm-Katz-Vafa [KKV] for the local $\mathbb{P}^2$ geometry can be formulated as follows: For $\delta \leq d+2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{(d^2+3d)/2+\delta} n_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \\ = & \sum_{j=0}^{\delta} \left(\binom{2g(d)-2-j-\delta}{\delta-j} + \binom{2g(d)-3-j-\delta}{\delta-j-1} \right) \\ & \cdot \left(\binom{d+2}{2} - j \right) \cdot e((\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}). \end{aligned} \tag{160}$$

Theorem 5.18. *The formula in Theorem 5.15 matches with* (160).

Proof. By (145),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta \geq 0} n_\delta(d) t^\delta &= \frac{1}{(1-q)^{d^2-3d}} \sum_{j \geq 0} q^j \cdot \left(\binom{d+2}{2} - j \right) \cdot e((\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)^{d^2-3d}} \frac{1}{[\infty]!^3} \left(\frac{(d+2)(d+1)}{2} - 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the right-hand side of (145). □

5.11. **Another comparison with Göttsche-Yau-Zaslow formula for $\mathbb{P}^2$.** Let us make a comparison with the work of Kool, Shende and Thomas [KST] on the number of δ-nodal curves in a generic δ-dimensional linear subsystem $\mathbb{P}_d^\delta \subset |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)|$. Let $\mathcal{C}_d^\delta \to \mathbb{P}_d^\delta$ be the restriction of the universal family, and let $(\mathcal{C}_d^\delta)^{(j)} \to \mathbb{P}_d^\delta$ be the relative Hilbert schemes. Then by [KST, Theorem 3.4], there is an expansion of the form:

$$q^{1-g(d)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e((\mathcal{C}_d^\delta)^{(j)}) q^j = \sum_{r=g(d)-\delta}^{g(d)} n_r t^{1-r}, \tag{161}$$

where $t = \frac{q}{(1-q)^2}$ is exactly the variable t we use in Theorem 5.15. Furthermore, the leading coefficient $n_{g(d)-\delta}$ is the number of δ-nodal curves in $\mathbb{P}_d^\delta$.

5.12. **Three invariants associated to three bases.** We rewrite the identity (153) as follows. First we have

$$\sum_{\delta \geq 0} M_\delta(x) q^{\delta+1} = \sum_{j \geq 0} e_j(x) \cdot (1-q)^2(1-q^2) q^{j+1},$$

then change q to q^{-1} to get:

$$\sum_{\delta\geq 0} M_\delta(x) q^{-(\delta+1)} = \sum_{j\geq 0} e_j(x)\cdot(1-q^{-1})^2(1-q^{-2})q^{-j-1}.$$

We then subtract the second identity from the first one and divide both sides by $q-q^{-1}$ to get

$$\sum_{\delta\geq 0} M_\delta(x) R_\delta = -\sum_{j\geq 0} e_j(x)\cdot(q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^2(q^{j+3}+q^{-(j+3)}),$$

This suggests to introduce a third basis of $SP(q)$ as follows:

$$T_g := \begin{cases} 1, & g=0, \\ (q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^2, & g=1, \\ (q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^2(q^{g-1}+q^{-(g-1)}), & g\geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{162}$$

Lemma 5.19. *The bases $\{S_g\}$ and $\{T_g\}$ are related as follows:*

$$S_g = \sum_{j=1}^{g} (-1)^{g-j}\binom{2g-2}{g-j} T_j, \tag{163}$$

$$T_g = \sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(\binom{g+j-1}{g-j} - \binom{g+j-3}{g-2-j}\right) S_j. \tag{164}$$

Proof. The first identity follows easily from the definitions by writing $S_g = (q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^2\cdot(q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^{2g-2}$ and applying the binomial expansion to $(q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^{2g-2}$. For the second identity we apply (134) to get

$$\begin{aligned} T_g &= (q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^2\cdot(R_{g-1}-R_{g-3}) \\ &= (q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})^2\cdot\left(\sum_{j=0}^{g-1}\binom{g+j}{g-1-j}S_j - \sum_{j=0}^{g-3}\binom{g+j-2}{g-3-j}S_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{g-1}\left(\binom{g+j}{g-1-j} - \binom{g+j-2}{g-3-j}\right)S_{j+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(\binom{g+j-1}{g-j} - \binom{g+j-3}{g-2-j}\right)S_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

Define the numbers $\{E_d^h :\ 0\leq h\leq g(d)\}$ as follows:

$$\sum_{g=0}^{g(d)} (-1)^g n_d^g S_g = \sum_{h=0}^{g(d)} E_d^h T_h. \tag{165}$$

As a corollary to the above Lemma, we have

Lemma 5.20. *The numbers* $\{n_d^g : \ 0 \leq g \leq g(d)\}$ *and the numbers* $\{E_d^h : \ 0 \leq h \leq g(d)\}$ *are related as follows:*

$$n_d^g = (-1)^g \sum_{h=g}^{g(d)} E_d^h \cdot \left(\binom{h+g-1}{h-g} - \binom{h+g-3}{h-g-2} \right), \tag{166}$$

$$E_d^h = (-1)^h \sum_{g=h}^{g(d)} \binom{2g-2}{g-h} \cdot n_d^g. \tag{167}$$

In particular, if we set $g = g(d) - \delta$ and $h = g(d) - j$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} n_d^{g(d)-\delta} &= (-1)^{g(d)-\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{\delta} E_d^{g(d)-j} \\ &\cdot \left(\binom{2g(d)-\delta-j-1}{\delta-j} - \binom{2g(d)-\delta-j-3}{\delta-j-2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{168}$$

It is not hard to check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{2g(d)-\delta-j-1}{\delta-j} - \binom{2g(d)-\delta-j-3}{\delta-j-2} \\ =&\binom{2g(d)-2-j-\delta}{\delta-j} + \binom{2g(d)-3-j-\delta}{\delta-j-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{169}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_d^{g(d)-\delta} &= (-1)^{g(d)-\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{\delta} E_d^{g(d)-\delta} \\ =&\binom{2g(d)-2-j-\delta}{\delta-j} + \binom{2g(d)-3-j-\delta}{\delta-j-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{170}$$

By comparing with (160), we get the following geometric interpretation of $E_d^{g(d)-j}$:

$$E_d^{g(d)-j} = (-1)^{d+1} e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}). \tag{171}$$

To summarize, we have discussed three bases of $SP(q)$:

$$\begin{aligned} R_g &= q^g + q^{g-2} + \cdots + q^{-g}, \\ S_g &= (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^{2g}, \\ T_g &= \begin{cases} 1, & g = 0, \\ \frac{1}{1+\delta_{g,1}} (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^2 (q^{g-1} + q^{-(g-1)}), & g \geq 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and their associated invariants N_d^g, n_d^g and E_d^g. From the geometric point view, E_d^g is most natural, but from the representation theoretical point of view, the introduction of T_g is artificial and R_g is most natural.

6. Going Beyond the Predictions of Katz-Klemm-Vafa

In this section we will refine our method in last section to compute the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants $n_d^{(d-1)(d-2)/2-\delta}$ of $\kappa_{\P^2}$ for $0 \leq \delta \leq 2d-5$. We will go beyond the predictions made by Katz-Klemm-Vafa [KKV] for the case when $\varphi^{(j)}$'s are fibrations. We have the following stronger version of Theorem 5.12:

Theorem 6.1. *For $\delta \geq 0$, when $d \geq (\delta+5)/2$, the transformed GV invariants $M_d^\delta(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2}) := (-1)^{d-1} N_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ is almost a quadratic polynomial $M_\delta(d)$ in d, and they have the following generating series*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\delta\geq 0} M_\delta(d) q^\delta = & \frac{[1]^2[2]}{[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{d+2}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2}\right) \\ & - \frac{3\cdot q^{d-1}[2][3]}{[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{d+1}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2} - 3\frac{q^3}{1-q^3}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{172}$$

This theorem is a corollary of Theorem 6.12.

Under the same conditions, under the same condition, the numbers $(-1)^{g(d)+d-1-\delta} n_d^{g(d)-\delta}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ is almost a polynomial $n_\delta(d)$ given by:

$$n_\delta(d) := \sum_{j=0}^{\delta} M_j(d) \cdot \binom{d^2-3d+3-\delta-j}{\delta-j}. \tag{173}$$

As a consequence we then have

Theorem 6.2. *Let t and q be related by*

$$t = \frac{q}{(1-q)^2}, \qquad q = \frac{1+2t-\sqrt{1+4t}}{2t}. \tag{174}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\delta\geq 0} n_\delta(x) t^\delta = & \frac{1}{[1]^{x^2-3x}\cdot[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{x+2}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2}\right) \\ & - \frac{3\cdot q^{x-1}\cdot[3]}{[1]^{x^2-3x+2}[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{x+1}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2} - 3\frac{q^3}{1-q^3}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{175}$$

Proof. Just recall (144):

$$\sum_{\delta\geq 0} n_\delta(x) t^\delta = \frac{1}{[1]^{x^2-3x+2}[2]} \cdot \sum_{j\geq 0} M_j(x) q^j$$

□

Recall the prediction of [KKV, (4.15)] can be rephrased as follows (cf. (159)):

$$\sum_{\delta\geq 0} n_\delta(d)t^\delta = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{d^2-3d}}\sum_{j\geq 0} q^j\cdot e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}). \tag{176}$$

Hence by (175) we get the following prediction for the Euler numbers of relative Hilbert schemes:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j\geq 0} q^j\cdot e(\mathcal{C}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)^{(j)}) = {}& \frac{1}{[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{d+2}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2}\right)\\ &- \frac{3\cdot q^{d-1}\cdot [3]}{[1]^2[\infty]!^3}\left(\binom{d+1}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^i}{(1-q^i)^2} - 3\frac{q^3}{1-q^3}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{177}$$

modulo q^{2d-4}. It is interesting to establish this geometrically.

6.1. **A formula of $\mathcal{I}(d)$.** Recall that by formula (82), we can write down the leading terms of $\mathcal{I}(d)$ in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(d) ={}&(-1)^d\cdot\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d} q^{\frac12\sum_i\kappa_{(d_i)}}\mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_2),(d_3)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q)\\ &+(-1)^d\cdot 3\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d} q^{\frac12(\kappa_{(d_1)}+\kappa_{(d_2-1,1)}+\kappa_{(d_3)})}\\ &\qquad\qquad\cdot\mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2-1,1)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_2-1,1),(d_3)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q)\\ &+\cdots\\ ={}&(-1)^d q^{g(d)-1}\Big[W_d(q)+\mathcal{I}^{(2)}(q)+\cdots\Big],\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^{(2)}(d) = q^{-(g(d)-1)}\cdot 3&\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d} q^{\frac12(\kappa_{(d_1)}+\kappa_{(d_2-1,1)}+\kappa_{(d_3)})}\\ &\cdot\mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2-1,1)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_2-1,1),(d_3)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)}(q).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.3. *We have the following degree estimates:*

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_q(\mathcal{I}(d)-(-1)^d q^{g(d)-1}\cdot W_d(q) &= (g(d)-1)-(d+2),\\ \deg_q(\mathcal{I}(d)-(-1)^d q^{g(d)-1}[W_d(q)+\mathcal{I}^{(2)}(q)] &= (g(d)-1)-(2d+1).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first estimate is in fact a reformulation of Lemma 5.1. For the second one, note that for three partitions μ^1,μ^2,μ^3 of d_1,d_2,d_3

respectively, if they are not of the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned}&(d_1),(d_2),(d_3)\\&(d_1-1,1),(d_2),(d_3)\\&(d_1),(d_2-1,1),(d_3)\\&(d_1),(d_2),(d_3-1,1)\end{aligned}$$

then there are two cases: Case 1. They are of the forms

$$\begin{aligned}&(d_1-1,1),(d_2-1,1),(d_3)\\&(d_1),(d_2-1,1),(d_3-1,1)\\&(d_1-1,1),(d_2),(d_3-1,1)\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. One of them say μ^i must have $\mu^i_1 \leq d_i - 2$.

For the first case, recall

$$\mathcal{W}_{(d_1-1,1),(d_2-1,1)}(q) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}(d_1+d_2)} s_{(d_1-1,1)}(q^{\varrho}) s_{(d_2-1,1)}(q^{(d_1-1,1)+\varrho}),$$

where $q^{\mu+\varrho} = (q^{\mu_1}, q^{\mu_2-1}, q^{\mu_3-2}, \cdots)$. By $s_{(d-1,1)} = h_{d-1}h_1 - h_d$,

$$\begin{aligned}&s_{(d_2-1,1)}(q^{(d_1-1,1)+\varrho})\\=&(q^{d_1-1} - q^{-1} + \frac{1}{1-q^{-1}})(q^{(d_1-1)(d_2-1)} + q^{(d_1-1)(d_2-2)}(1+q^{-2}+\cdots))\\&-(q^{(d_1-1)(d_2)} + q^{(d_1-1)(d_2-1)}(1+q^{-2}+\cdots)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{(d_1-1,1),(d_2-1,1)} = -\frac{1}{2}(d_1+d_2) + (-1) + (d_2-1)(d_1-1),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\deg_q \Bigg[\sum_{\sum_i d_i = d} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{(d_1-1,1)}+\kappa_{(d_2-1,1)}+\kappa_{(d_3)})}\\&\qquad \cdot \mathcal{W}_{(d_1-1,1),(d_2-1,1)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_2-1,1),(d_3)}(q)\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1-1,1)}(q)\Bigg]\\=&(g(d)-1) - (d_1+d_2) - (d_1+d_2+d_3+1+d_3+1)\\=&(g(d)-1) - (2d+2).\end{aligned}$$

For the second case, without loss of generality suppose that $\mu^1_1 \leq d_1 - 2$. By Lemma 4.3,

$$\deg_q \mathcal{W}_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(q) \leq d_1 \cdot d_2 - (d_1+d_2)/2 - 2(d_2+1),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\deg_q\left[\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{\mu^1}+\kappa_{\mu^2}+\kappa_{\mu^3})}\mathcal{W}_{\mu^1,\mu^2}(q)\mathcal{W}_{\mu^2,\mu^3}(q)\mathcal{W}_{\mu^3,\mu^1}(q)\right]\\ \leq&(g(d)-1)-(2d_1-1)-2(d_2+1+d_3+1)=(g(d)-1)-(2d+1)\end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if $\mu_1=(d_1-2,2)$ and $\mu_2=(d_2),\mu_3=(d_3)$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Now we can write down the following formula for more leading terms of $\mathcal{I}(d)$, which is in fact a generalization of Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.11.

Theorem 6.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(d)=\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\Bigg[&\left(\binom{d+2}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}\right)+\\ &\frac{3\cdot q^{-d-2}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\left(\binom{d+1}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}+3\right)\Bigg]\Bigg|_{q^{>-2d}}.\end{aligned}\tag{178}$$

We will finish the proof in the following two subsections.

6.2. **Contribution of W_d.** First we consider the $q^{>-2d}$ contributions from W_d^2 ,W_d^3 and W_d^4 . Since

$$\deg_q W_d^4\leq-(2d+3),$$

it has no contribution. Recall

$$\begin{aligned}W_d^{2'}(q)&=W_d^2(q)-W_\infty^2(q)\\ &=-3\sum_{d_1+d_2>d}\frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\cdot T_{d_2}^x\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right),\\ W_d^3(q)&=3\sum_{\sum d_i=d}\frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\cdot T_{d_2}^x\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right)\\ &\qquad\cdot T_{d_3}^x\left(\frac{(q^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}-1\right),\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.7,

$$\deg_q W_d^{2'}(q)=\max\{-(d_1+1)(d_2+1):d_1+d_2\geq d+1\}$$

$$\deg_q W_d^3(q)=\max\{-(d_1+1)(d_2+1)-(d_2+1)(d_3+1):\sum_{i=1}^3 d_i=d\}$$

If $d_1, d_2 \geq 1$, we have

$$-(d_1+1)(d_2+1) = -(d_1-1)(d_2-1) - 2(d_1+d_2) \leq -2d.$$

If $d_2 \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -(d_1+1)(d_2+1) - (d_2+1)(d_3+1) \\ = & -(d + d_1d_2 + d_2d_3 + d_2 + 2) \leq -(2d+2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we only need to consider the terms of $d_1 = 0$ or $d_2 = 0$ (respectively $d_2 = 0$) in the summation of $W_d^{2'}$ (respectively W_d^3).

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & T^x_{d_2}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right)|_{d_2=0} \\ =&(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty - 1 = -[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}!}), \\ & T^x_{d_3}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right)\bigg|_{d_2=0} = T^x_{d_3}\left(\frac{(q^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty} - 1\right) \\ =&\sum_{k=0}^{d_3} \frac{q^{-k}\cdot[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!} - 1 = -[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d_3]_{q^{-1}}!}). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following formula of these terms:

$$W_d^{2'}(q) = \frac{2\cdot 3}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}\sum_{d_1>d}(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}!}) + q^{-2d}(\cdots), \tag{179}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_d^3(q) = & \sum_{d_1+d_3=d} \frac{3}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d_3]_{q^{-1}}!}) \\ & \cdot(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}!}) + q^{-2d-2}(\cdots). \end{aligned} \tag{180}$$

Moreover, we can take the summations to get the following:

Proposition 6.5. *We have*

$$W_d^{2'}(q) = \frac{2\cdot 3q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3} + q^{-2d}\cdot(\cdots), \tag{181}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_d^3(q) = & \frac{3q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}(d+1-2\sum_{i\geq 2}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}) \\ & + q^{-2d-2}(\cdots). \end{aligned} \tag{182}$$

Proof. By formula (179) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{-3}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}\sum_{d_1>d}(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}!})\\
=&\frac{-3}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}\sum_{d_1>d}(\sum_{k>d_1}\frac{q^{-k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!})\\
=&\frac{-3}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}\sum_{d_1>d}(\sum_{k>d_1}\frac{q^{-k}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-(\sum_{k>d_1}q^{-k}(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}))\\
=&\frac{-3}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\frac{q^{-d-2}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}+\frac{3q^{-2d-4}}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}\cdot(1+a_1q^{-1}+\cdots)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get the first formula. For the second one, by formula (180) we need to calculate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{d_1+d_3=d}\frac{3}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[d_3]_{q^{-1}}!})(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}!})\\
=&\sum_{d_1+d_3=d}\frac{3}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}(\sum_{k>d_1}\frac{q^{-k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!})(\sum_{l>d_2}\frac{q^{-l}}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!})\\
=&\sum_{d_1+d_3=d}\frac{3}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\Bigg[(\sum_{k>d_1}\frac{q^{-k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{q^{-k}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!})(\sum_{l>d_3}\frac{q^{-l}}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{q^{-l}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!})+\\
&2(\sum_{k>d_1}\frac{q^{-k}}{[k]_{q^{-l}}!}-\frac{q^{-k}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!})(\sum_{l>d_3}\frac{q^{-l}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!})+(\sum_{k>d_1}\frac{q^{-l}}{[\infty]_{q^{-k}}!})(\sum_{l>d_3}\frac{q^{-l}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!})\Bigg]\\
=&\sum_{d_1+d_3=d}q^{-(d_1+1+d_1+2+d_3+1+d_3+2)}(\cdots)+\frac{3q^{-(d_1+1+d_3+1)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\\
&\qquad+\sum_{0\le d_1\le d}2(\sum_{k>d_1}\frac{q^{-k}}{[k]_{q^{-l}}!}-\frac{q^{-k}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!})(\frac{q^{-(d-d_1)-1}}{(1-q^{-1})[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!})\\
=&q^{-2d-6}(\cdots)+\frac{3(d+1)q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}+\frac{6q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}\\
&\qquad\cdot\sum_{0\le d_1}q^{d_1+1}(\sum_{k>d_1}\frac{q^{-k}}{[k]_{q^{-l}}!}-\frac{q^{-k}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!})+q^{-2d-4}(\cdots).
\end{aligned}
$$

We have used Lemma 5.7 repeatedly. The proof is completed by using the following Lemma. □

Lemma 6.6. *The following identity holds:*

$$\sum_{d_1\geq 0}\sum_{k>d_1} q^{d_1+1-k}\Big(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}\Big) = \frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\sum_{i\geq 2}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{d_1\geq 0} q^{d_1+1}\sum_{k>d_1} q^{-k}\Big(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}\Big) = \sum_{d_1\geq 0} q^{d_1+1}\sum_{k>d_1}\Big(\sum_{l>k}\frac{q^{-k-l}}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}\Big)\\
=&\sum_{d_1\geq 0} q^{d_1+1}\sum_{l>d_1+1}\Big(\sum_{l>k>d_1}\frac{q^{-k-l}}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}\Big) = \sum_{d_1\geq 0}\sum_{l>d_1+1}\frac{q^{-l}}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}\cdot\frac{1-q^{-(l-d_1-1)}}{1-q^{-1}}\\
=&\frac{1}{1-q^{-1}}\sum_{l>1}\sum_{0\leq d_1<l-1}\frac{q^{-l}}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{q^{-(2l-d_1-1)}}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}\\
=&\sum_{l>1}\frac{1}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}\Big(\frac{(l-1)q^{-l}}{(1-q^{-1})}-\frac{(q^{-l-1}-q^{-2l})}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\Big)\\
=&\sum_{l\geq 0}\frac{1}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}\left(\frac{lq^{-l}}{1-q^{-1}}-\frac{q^{-l}-q^{-2l}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{d\geq 0}\frac{dq^{-d}}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!} &= t\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{d\geq 0}\frac{t^d q^{-d}}{[d]_{q^{-1}}!}\bigg|_{t=1}\\
&= t\frac{d}{dt}\frac{1}{(tq^{-1},q^{-1})_\infty}\bigg|_{t=1} = \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l\geq 0}\frac{1}{[l]_{q^{-1}}!}\Big(\frac{lq^{-l}}{1-q^{-1}}-\frac{q^{-l}-q^{-2l}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\Big)\\
=&\frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}-\frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\left(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1-q^{-1}}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\sum_{i\geq 2}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6.3. **Contribution of $\mathcal{I}_d^{(2)}(q)$.** Introduce

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(m),(n)}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{q^{-k(m+1)}}{[m]_{q^{-1}}![k]_{q^{-1}}!} = \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}T_n^x\left(\frac{(q^{-m-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-m-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\right).$$

By (77),

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(m),(n)}(q) = q^{-mn+(m+n)/2}\mathcal{W}_{(m),(n)}(q). \tag{183}$$

Because it has been proved in [Z2] that $\mathcal{W}_{\mu,\nu}(q) = \mathcal{W}_{\nu,\mu}(q)$, it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(m),(n)}(q) = \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(n),(m)}(q)$, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{q^{-k(n+1)}}{[n]_{q^{-1}}![k]_{q^{-1}}!} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{q^{-k(m+1)}}{[m]_{q^{-1}}![k]_{q^{-1}}!}. \tag{184}$$

Lemma 6.7. *The following identities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}_{(m),(n-1,1)}(q) \\ = \quad & q^{m(n-1)-1-\frac{1}{2}(m+n)} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{1-q^{-1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(m),(n-1)}(q) - \frac{q^{-(m+1)(n-1)}}{[m]_{q^{-1}}![n]_{q^{-1}}!}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{(m),(n-1,1)}(q) &= s_{(m)}(q^{\varrho})s_{(n-1,1)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}) \\ &= \frac{q^{-m/2}}{[m]_{q^{-1}}!} \cdot s_{(n-1,1)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}). \end{aligned}$$

Because $s_{(n-1,1)} = h_{n-1}h_1 - h_n = s_{(n-1)}s_{(1)} - s_{(n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{(n-1,1)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}) = s_{(n-1)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}) \cdot s_{(1)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}) - s_{(n)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}) \\ = \quad & q^{-(n-1)/2}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{q^{m(n-1)-(m+1)k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!} \cdot q^{-1/2}(q^m + \frac{q^{-1}}{1-q^{-1}}) \\ - \quad & q^{-n/2}\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{q^{mn-(m+1)k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!} \\ = \quad & \frac{q^{mn-n/2-1}}{1-q^{-1}}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{q^{-(m+1)k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!} - \frac{q^{-3n/2}}{[n]_{q^{-1}}!}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (79).

$$s_{(n)}(q^{(m)+\varrho}) = q^{-n/2}\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{q^{mn-(m+1)k}}{[k]_{q^{-1}}!}. \tag{185}$$

□

By the above Lemma we can write down the contribution of $\mathcal{I}_d(q)$ as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_d^{(2)}(q) = 3q^{-(g(d)-1)} & \sum_{\sum_i d_i=d, d_2-1\geq 1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{(d_1)}+\kappa_{(d_2-1,1)}+\kappa_{(d_3)})}\cdot \\
& \qquad \mathcal{W}_{(d_1),(d_2-1,1)}\mathcal{W}_{(d_2-1,1),(d_3)}\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)} \\
=3 & \sum_{\sum_i d_i=d, d_2\geq 2} q^{-(d+2)}\cdot\Big(\frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(d_2-1)}}{1-q^{-1}}-\frac{q^{-(d_1+1)(d_2-1)}}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}\Big) \\
& \qquad \cdot\Big(\frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_2-1),(d_3)}}{1-q^{-1}}-\frac{q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}}{[d_3]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}\Big)\cdot\mathcal{W}_{(d_3),(d_1)} \\
=&\frac{3q^{-d-2}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d-1, d_2\geq 1}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(d_2)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_2),(d_3)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_3),(d_1)} \\
& -3q^{-d-2}\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d, d_2\geq 2}\frac{2q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(d_2-1)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_3),(d_1)}}{(1-q^{-1})[d_1]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}+q^{-d-1}(\cdots).
\end{aligned}
$$

We can rewrite it as

$$
\mathcal{I}_d^{(2)}(q)=\mathcal{I}_d^{(2a)}(q)+\mathcal{I}_d^{(2a')}(q)+\mathcal{I}_d^{(2b)}(q)+q^{-d-1}(\cdots).
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_d^{(2a)}(q) &= \frac{3q^{-d-2}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(d_2)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_2),(d_3)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_3),(d_1)} \\
\mathcal{I}_d^{(2a')}(q) &= -\frac{3q^{-d-2}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\sum_{d_1+d_3=d-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(0)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(0),(d_3)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_3),(d_1)} \\
\mathcal{I}_d^{(2b)}(q) &= -6q^{-(d+2)}\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d, d_2\geq 2}\frac{q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(d_2-1)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_3),(d_1)}}{(1-q^{-1})[d_3]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.8. *We have*

$$
\mathcal{I}_d^{(2b)}(q) = \frac{-6q^{-(d+2)}\cdot q^{-1}}{(1-q^{-1})^3([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}+q^{-2d}(\cdots)
$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{\sum_i d_i=d, d_2\geq 2} \frac{q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(d_2-1)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_3),(d_1)}}{(1-q^{-1})[d_3]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}$$

$$= \sum_{\sum_i d_i=d, d_2\geq 2} \frac{q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}}{(1-q^{-1})[d_3]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}$$

$$\cdot \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}T^x_{d_2}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}T^x_{d_3}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_2-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\right),$$

and

$$T^x_{d_2}\left(\frac{(q^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-d_1-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\right) - 1 = q^{(d_2+1)(d_1+1)}(\cdots),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{I}^{(2b)}_d(q) = -6q^{-d-2}\sum_{d_2+d_3\leq d, d_2\geq 2}\frac{q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}}{(1-q^{-1})[d_3]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}+q^{-2d-2}(\cdots).$$

We now take the summations. By the q-analog of binomial theorem:

$$\sum_{d_3\geq 0}\frac{q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}}{[d_3]_{q^{-1}}!} = q^{-(d_2-1)}\frac{1}{(q^{-(d_2-1)};q^{-1})_\infty} = q^{-(d_2-1)}\frac{[d_2-2]_{q^{-1}}!}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!},$$

we have

$$\sum_{d_2\geq 2, d_3\geq 0}\frac{q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}}{(1-q^{-1})[d_3]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)}\sum_{d_2\geq 2}\frac{q^{-(d_2-1)}}{(1-q^{-(d_2-1)})(1-q^{-d_2})}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)}\sum_{d_2\geq 2}(\frac{1}{1-q^{-(d_2-1)}}-\frac{1}{1-q^{-d_2}})$$

$$=\frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)}(\frac{1}{1-q^{-1}}-1) = \frac{q^{-1}}{(1-q^{-1})^3([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)}.$$

Noting the fact that

$$\sum_{d_2+d_3>d, d_2\geq 2}\frac{q^{-(d_3+1)(d_2-1)}}{(1-q^{-1})[d_3]_{q^{-1}}![d_2]_{q^{-1}}!([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2} = q^{-d+1}(\cdots),$$

we finish the proof. □

Proposition 6.9. *We have*

$$\mathcal{I}_d^{(2a')}(q) = -\frac{3q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\left(d - 2\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}\right)\Bigg|_{q^{\geq -2d-1}}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{d_1+d_3=d-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(0)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(0),(d_3)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_3),(d_1)}\\
=&\sum_{d_1+d_3=d-1}\sum_{k=0}^{d_3}\frac{q^{-k(d_1+1)}}{([d_1]_{q^{-1}}!)^2[d_3]_{q^{-1}}![k]_{q^{-1}}!}\\
=&\sum_{d_1+d_3=d-1}\frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}![d_3]_{q^{-1}}![\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}(T_n^x\left(\frac{(q^{-m-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(xq^{-m-1};q^{-1})_\infty}\right)-1)\\
&\quad+\sum_{d_1+d_3=d-1}\frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}![d_3]_{q^{-1}}![\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.7 and the following lemma, we finish the proof. ∎

Lemma 6.10. *We have*

$$\sum_{d_1+d_3=d}\frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}![d_3]_{q^{-1}}!} = \frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}\left((d+1) - 2\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}\right)\Bigg|_{q^{\geq -d}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{d_1+d_3=d}\frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}![d_3]_{q^{-1}}!} =& \sum_{d_1+d_3=d}\Bigg[-\frac{2}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[d_3]_{q^{-1}}!})\\
&+\frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}+(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}!})(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[d_3]_{q^{-1}}!})\Bigg]
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.8 and Lemma 5.7,

$$\sum_{d\geq d_1\geq 0}\left(\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}-\frac{1}{[d_3]_{q^{-1}}!}\right) = \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!}\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}} + q^{-(d+1)}(\cdots),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{d_1+d_3=d}\frac{1}{[d_1]_{q^{-1}}![d_3]_{q^{-1}}!} &= \frac{1}{([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^2}\left((d+1) - 2\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}\right)\\
&\quad+q^{-(d+1)}(\cdots) + q^{-(d_1+1+d_2+1)}(\cdots).
\end{aligned}$$

∎

Proof of Theorem 6.4 . By Proposition 6.3, we need to consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(d) =&(-1)^d q^{g(d)-1}\Big[[W_d^1(q)+W_\infty^2(q)]+\mathcal{I}^{(2a)}(q)\\ &\quad +[W_d^{2'}(q)+W_d^3(q)+\mathcal{I}^{(2a')}(q)+\mathcal{I}^{(2b)}(q)]+q^{-2d}(\cdots)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Recall we have shown that (cf. (109) and (115)):

$$W_d^1(q)+W_\infty^2(q)=\frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\left(\binom{d+2}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}\right).$$

Also by Theorem 5.11 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^{(2a)}(q)&=\frac{3q^{-d-2}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\cdot W_{d-1}(q)\\ &= \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\frac{3q^{-d-2}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\left(\binom{d+1}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}\right)\Bigg|_{q\geq -2d-2}\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.5, Proposition 6.9 and Proposition 6.8 proved in the last two subsections, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&W_d^{2'}(q)+W_d^3(q)+\mathcal{I}^{(2a')}(q)+\mathcal{I}^{(2b)}(q)\\ =&\frac{2\cdot 3q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}+\frac{3q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}(d+1-2\sum_{i\geq 2}\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}})\\ &-\frac{3q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}(d-2\sum_{i\geq 1}\left(\frac{q^{-i}}{1-q^{-i}}\right))+\frac{-6q^{-(d+2)\cdot q^{-1}}}{(1-q^{-1})^3([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}\\ &+q^{-2d}(\cdots)\\ =&\frac{3q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}(2+1)+\frac{-6q^{-(d+2)}(q^{-1}-q^{-1})}{(1-q^{-1})^3([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}+q^{-2d}(\cdots)\\ =&\frac{9q^{-(d+2)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2([\infty]_{q^{-1}}!)^3}+q^{-2d}(\cdots)\end{aligned}$$

We finish the proof by the above three terms. □

6.4. Contribution of $\mathcal{I}(1)\mathcal{I}(d-1)$.

Proposition 6.11. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{I}(1)\mathcal{I}(d-1)\\ =& \frac{3q^{g(d)-1-(d-1)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\left(\binom{d+2}{2}-3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}\right)\Bigg|_{q\geq -2d+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(1),(0)}(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(0),(0)}(q) = 1,$$

we have

$$W_1(q) = \sum_{d_1+d_2+d_3=1} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_1),(d_2)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_2),(d_3)}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{(d_3),(d_1)} = \frac{3}{(1-q^{-1})^2},$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}(1) = q^{-1}W_1(q) = \frac{3q^{-1}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{I}(1)\mathcal{I}(d-1) = \frac{3q^{g(d-1)-1-1}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}W_{d-1}(q) = \frac{3q^{g(d)-1-(d-1)}}{(1-q^{-1})^2}W_{d-1}(q),$$

together with Theorem 5.11 we finish the proof. □

Theorem 6.12. *If $d > 3$, we have*

$$F^1(d) = F(d) = \frac{1}{[\infty]_{q^{-1}}!^3}\Bigg[\Bigg(\binom{d+2}{2} - 3\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2}\Bigg) - \frac{3\cdot q^{-d+1}(1-q^{-3})}{(1-q^{-1})^2}\Bigg(\binom{d+1}{2} - \sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{3q^{-i}}{(1-q^{-i})^2} - \frac{3q^{-3}}{1-q^{-3}}\Bigg)\Bigg]\Bigg|_{q^{>-(2d-4)}}$$

Proof. Recall

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_q(\mathcal{I}(d_1)\cdots\mathcal{I}(d_k)) &= \frac{1}{2}[(d_1^2-3d_1)+\cdots+(d_k^2-3d_k)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(d^2-3d) - \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} d_id_j. \end{aligned}$$

If $\{d_1,\cdots,d_k\} \neq \{1, d-1\}$ or $\{d\}$, there must be some $d_s \leq d-2$,

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} d_id_j \geq d_s\cdot(\sum_{i\neq s} d_i) = d_s(d-d_s) \geq 2d-4.$$

Hence we have

$$F(d) = \mathcal{I}(d) - \mathcal{I}(1)\mathcal{I}(d-1) + q^{-(2d-4)}(\cdots),$$

together with formula (97), Theorem 6.4 and Proposition 6.11 we finish the proof. □

6.5. **Further observations on quadratic properties.** Our results in this and last sections have suggested the following form of the generating series for the transformed GV invariants:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\delta\geq 0} M_d^\delta q^\delta = & \sum_{\delta\geq 0} C_{d,0}^\delta q^\delta + q^{d-1}\cdot \sum_{\delta\geq 0} C_{d,1}^\delta q^\delta \\ & + q^{2d-4}\cdot \sum_{\delta\geq 0} C_{d,2}^\delta q^\delta + q^{3d-9}\cdot \sum_{\delta\geq 0} C_{d,3}^\delta q^\delta + \cdots ,\end{aligned} \tag{186}$$

where for sufficiently large d,

$$C_{d,j}^\delta = a_j(\delta)\binom{d+2-j}{2} + b_j(\delta). \tag{187}$$

I.e. we have

$$M_d^\delta = C_{d,0}^\delta + C_{d,1}^{\delta-(d-1)} + C_{d,2}^{\delta-(2d-4)} + C_{d,3}^{\delta-(3d-9)} + \cdots .$$

In the above we have established this for $C_{d,0}^\delta$ and $C_{d,1}^\delta$. Using the table of n_d^g for local $\mathbb{P}^2$ in [HKR] we have checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{d,2}^0 &= 6\cdot\binom{d}{2}, && (d\geq 5),\\
C_{d,2}^1 &= 12\cdot\binom{d}{2} - 18, && (d\geq 6),\\
C_{d,2}^2 &= 24\cdot\binom{d}{2} - 90, && (d\geq 6),\\
C_{d,2}^3 &= 30\cdot\binom{d}{2} - 252, && (d\geq 7),\\
C_{d,2}^4 &= 33\cdot\binom{d}{2} - 549, && (d\geq 7),\\
C_{d,2}^5 &= -15\cdot\binom{d}{2} - 882, && (d\geq 8).
\end{aligned}$$

It will be interesting to have geometric interpretation of such phenomenon.

References

[AKMV] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino and C. Vafa, *The topological vertex*, Commun. Math. Phys. Vol. 254, Number 2 (2005), 425-478.

[AMV] M. Aganagic, M. Martino and C. Vafa, *All loop topological string amplitudes from Chern-Simons theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. Vol. 247, Number 2 (2004), 467-512.

[Bat] V. Batyrev, *Birational Calabi-Yau n-folds have equal Betti numbers.* New trends in algebraic geometry (Warwick, 1996), 1C11, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 264, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[Bea] A. Beauville, *Counting rational curves on K3 surfaces*, Duke Math. J. 97 (1999) 99-108.

[BCOVK] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Holomorphic anamlalies in topological field theories*, with an appendix by S. Katz, arXiv:hep-th/9302103.

[BCOV] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Holomorphic anomalies in topological field theories*, Nuclear Phys. B405, Issues 2-3, 20 Sep. 1993, 279-304.

[Blo] F. Block, *Computing node polynomials for plane curves*, arXiv:math/1006.0218.

[Bry] J. Bryan, *Multiple cover formulas for Gromov-Witten invariants anc BPS states*, Proceedings of the Workshop “Algebraic Geometry and Integrable Systems related to String Theory” (Kyoto, 2000). No. 1232 (2001), 144C159.

[BL] J. Bryan and N. C. Leung, *The enumerative geometry of K3 surfaces and modular forms*, Jour. AMS, Vol 13, 371-410, 2000.

[BP] J. Bryan and R. Pandharipande, *BPS states of curves in Calabi-Yau 3-folds*, Geom.Topol. 5 (2001) 287-318, arXiv:math/0009025.

[CDGP] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, P. Green and L. Parkes, *A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory*, Nuclear Phys. B359 (1991), no. 1, 21-74.

[CH] L. Caporaso and J. Harris, *Counting plane curves of any genus*, Invent. Math. 131 (1998), no. 2, 345-392.

[CL] H. Chang and J. Li, *Gromov-Witten invariants of stable maps with fields*, arXiv:1101.0914.

[Che] X. Chen, *A simple proof that rational curves on K3 are nodal*, Math. Ann. 324 (2002), no. 1, 71-104.

[CKYZ] T. M. Chiang, A. Klemm, S. T. Yau, E. Zaslow, *Local mirror symmetry: Calculations and interpretations*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999) 495.

[Dij] R. Dijkgraaf, *Mirror symmetry and elliptic curves*, The Moduli Space of Curves, R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, G. van der Geer (editors), Progress in Mathematics, 129, Birkhauser, 1995.

[DI] P. Di Francesco and C. Itzykson, *Quantum intersection rings*, in The Moduli Space of Curves, Birkhauser, 81C148, 1995. arXiv:hep-th/9412175.

[FP] C. Faber, and R. Pandharipande, *Hodge integrals and Gromov-Witten theory*, Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 1, 173-199.

[FM] S. Fomin and G. Mikhalkin, *Labeled floor diagrams for plane curves*, arXiv:math/0906.3828.

[Giv] A. Givental, *Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants*, International Mathematics Research Notices 131 (1996), 616-663.

[Got] L. Göttsche, *A Conjectural Generating Function for Numbers of Curves on Surfaces*, Commun. Math. Phys. 196 (1998), 523-533.

[GP] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Invent. Math. 135 (1999), 487-518.

[GR] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press.

[GV1] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, *M-theory and topological strings-II*, arXiv:hep-th/9812127.

[GV2] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, *On the gauge theory/geometry correspondence*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999), no. 5, 1415-1443, arXiv:hep-th/9811131.

[GZ] S. Guo and J. Zhou, *Gopakumar-Vafa BPS Invariants, Hilbert Schemes and Quasimodular Forms. II.*, in preparation.

[HIV] T. Hollowood, A. Iqbal, C. Vafa, *Matrix models, geometric engineering and elliptic genera*, arXiv:hep-th/0310272.

[HKQ] M. Huang, A. Klemm, and S. Quackenbush, *Topological String Theory on Compact Calabi-Yau: Modularity and Boundary Conditions*, arXiv:hep-th/0612125.

[HKR] B. Haghighat, A. Klemm, and M. Rauch, *Integrability of holomorphic anomaly equations*, arXiv:0809.1674.

[I] A. Iqbal, *All genus topological string amplitudes and 5-brane webs as Feynman diagrams*, arXiv:hep-th/0207114.

[K] M. Kontsevich, *Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions*, in The moduli space of curves, R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, and G. van der Geer, eds., Birkhauser, 1995, pp 335-368.

[KKV1] S. Katz, A. Klemm, and C. Vafa *Geometric engineering of quantum field theories*, Nucl.Phys. B497 (1997) 173-195, hep-th/9609239.

[KKV] S. Katz, A. Klemm, C. Vafa, *M-theory, topological strings and spinning black holes*, arXiv:hep-th/9910181.

[Ko1] Y. Konishi, *Pole structure of topological string free energy*, arXiv:math/0411357.

[Ko2] Y. Konishi, *Integrality of Gopakumar-Vafa Invariants of Toric Calabi-Yau Threefolds*, arXiv:math/0504188.

[KM] M. Kontsevich and Y.Manin, *Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. 164 (1994), no. 3, 525-562.

[KP1] S. Kleiman and R. Piene, *Enumerating singular curves on surfaces*, in Algebraic Geometry: Hirzebruch 70, Contemp. Math. vol. 241, AMS, Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 209-238.

[KP2] S. Kleiman, R. Piene, *Node polynomials for families: methods and applications*, Math. Nachr. 271, 69-90, 2004.

[KST] M. Kool, V. Shende and R. Thomas, *A short proof of the Göttsche conjecture*, Geometry and Topology 15, (2011) 397-406.

[KaZa] M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, *A generalized Jacobi theta function and quasimodular forms in The moduli space of curves*, eds. R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, G. van der Geer, Boston: Birkhauser, (1995), 165-172.

[KMPS] A.Klemm, D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande, and E. Scheidegger, *Noether-Lefschetz theory and the Yau-Zaslow conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 4, 1013C1040.

[KZ] A. Klemm and E. Zaslow, *Local Mirror Symmetry at Higher Genus*, arXiv:hep-th/9906046.

[KST] M. Kool, V. Shende, and R. P. Thomas *A short proof of the Göttsche conjecture*, Geom. Topol.15: 397-406, 2011, arXiv:1010.3211.

[Liu] A. Liu, Liu, Ai-Ko(1-CA) *Family blowup formula, admissible graphs and the enumeration of singular curves. I.*, J. Differential Geom. 56 (2000), no. 3, 381C579.

[LLLZ] J. Li, C. C. Liu, K. Liu and J. Zhou, *A Mathematical Theory of the Topological Vertex*, arXiv:math.AG/0408426.

[LLY] B. Lian, K. Liu, and S. T. Yau, *Mirror principle I*, Asian J. Math. Vol. 1, no. 4 (1997), 729-763.

[Mac] I. G. MacDonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, Clarendon Press, 1995.

[MV] M. Mariño, C. Vafa, *Framed knots at large N*, Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001), 185-204, Contemp. Math., 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[OV] H. Ooguri, C. Vafa, *Knot invariants and topological strings*, Nucl. Phys. B. 577 (2000), 419 - 438, hep-th/9912123.

[Pan] R. Pandharipande, *Hodge integrals and degenerate contributions.* Comm. Math. Phys. 208 (1999), no. 2, 489-506.

[PT] R. Pandharipande, R. Thomas, *13/2 ways of counting curves*, arXiv:1111.1552.

[P] P. Peng, A simple proof of Gopakumar-Vafa conjecture for local toric Calabi-Yau manifolds, Commun. Math. Phys. Vol. 276, Number 2 (2007), 551-569.

[Ran] Z. Ran, *Enumerative geometry of singular plane curves*, Invent. Math. 97 (1989), 447-465.

[Tz] Y. Tzeng, *A proof of the Göttsche-Yau-Zaslow formula*, arXiv:math/1009.5371.

[Vai] I. Vainsencher, *Enumeration of n-fold tangent hyperplanes to a surface*, J. Algebraic Geom. 4(1995), no. 3, 503-526.

[Vak] R. Vakil, *Counting curves on rational surfaces* Manuscripta Mathematica Volume 102, Number 1 (2000), 53-84.

[W1] E. Witten *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, Comm. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), no. 3, 351–399.

[W2] E. Witten, *Chern-Simons gauge theory as a string theory*, in The Floer memorial volume, H. Hofer, C.H. Taubes, A. Weinstein and E. Zehnder, eds., Birkhäuser 1995, 637-678, arXiv:hep-th/9207094.

[YY] S. Yamaguchi and S. T. Yau, *Topological string partition functions as polynomials*, JHEP 0407, 047 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0406078.

[YZ] S. T. Yau and E. Zaslow, *BPS states, string duality, and nodal curves on K3*, Nuclear Phys. B471 No. 3 (1996), 503-512.

[Zin] A Zinger, *The reduced genus* 1 *Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (2009), 691-737.

[Z1] J. Zhou, *Some Observations on Gopakumar-Vafa Invariants of Some Local Calabi-Yau Geometries*, Nankai Tracts Math, 2005.

[Z2] J. Zhou, *A conjecture on Hodge integrals*, arXiv:math/0310282.

[Z3] J. Zhou, *Localizations on moduli spaces and free field reliazations of Feyman rules*, arXiv:math/0310283.

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peiking University, Beijng, 100871, China
E-mail address: `gs0202@gmail.com`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijng, 100084, China
E-mail address: `jzhou@math.tsinghua.edu.cn`